

Doubling Farmer Income by 2022

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The government has released a seven-point plan to double farmer income by 2022.

\n

- The package has some glaring deficiencies.

\n

\n\n

What are the flaws?

\n\n

\n

- **No New Ideas** - The plan is little more than a mere repackaging of the ongoing agricultural development schemes.

\n

- **Productivity** - It is focused more on raising farm productivity than on improving the profitability of farming.

\n

- This disregards the fact that higher farm output does not necessarily lead to higher income.

\n

- Bumper harvests have in fact often caused a slide in prices.

\n

- **Marginal Farming** - Income from increasing output can at best be only marginal because land holdings of most farmers are too small.

\n

- Thereby, they can't produce sizeable marketable surpluses.

\n

- **Growth Rate** - Doubling of income by 2022, from current levels would require an estimated income growth of over 10% annually.

\n

- Such acceleration is hard to come by through the productivity route alone.

\n

\n\n

What are priority areas?

\n\n

- \n
 - More emphasis on various lucrative farm and not-farm economic activities that find a passing mention in the plan is needed.
 - \n
 - These can include, among others, high-value farming involving horticulture, floriculture, herbal farming and farm forestry.
 - \n
 - Allied activities, including novel ventures such as rabbit and quail rearing can also be promoted.
 - \n
 - Waste reduction and value-addition of farm produce with effective market support could help enhance incomes.
 - \n
 - Also, the creation of off-farm employment in rural sectors needs to be established.
 - \n

\n\n

What are the policy changes required?

\n\n

- \n
 - A stable policy regime governing agricultural pricing and trade needs to be established.
 - \n
 - **Trading** - The current pricing policies seem to have a pro-consumer bias, dictated chiefly by the need to keep inflation down.
 - \n
 - This spurs uncalled for interventions such as frequent opening and shutting of imports and exports of farm goods and imposition of stockholding and other curbs on trade.
 - \n
 - This needs to change to ensure a balance of produce and consumer interests.
 - \n
 - **Prices** - As minimum support price (MSP) mechanism has failed to show results, it needs to be supplemented with other measures.
 - \n
 - One such is the price deficit reimbursement scheme of Madhya Pradesh that seeks to compensate farmers for any shortfall in realising the MSP.
 - \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

\n

