

## **Doubling Farmer's Income**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

Last year, the Prime Minister has announced the government's goal of **doubling the farmer's income by 2022**. This would require the farmer's income to rise by around 12% per year on average, over the next 5 years. This is in nominal terms, i.e. not adjusted for inflation.

\n\n

### **Why agriculture growth has never averaged more than 5% over any continuous 5-year period, in recent history?**

\n\n

\n

- Firstly, agricultural productivity **cannot rise steeply** year after year, and secondly the area under cultivation cannot expand rapidly and continuously.

\n

- Modest increase is possible, but not exponential. Besides, **prices may remain stable**, denying any nominal rupee gains.

\n

- In addition to all this are the **risks associated with agriculture**, which are monsoon failure, pest attacks, spurious seeds, adverse weather shocks, etc., all of which contribute to making the goal truly audacious.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the aspects to the incomes associated with the food and cash crop economies?**

\n\n

\n

- First, in case of fruits and vegetables, the difference between what the city dweller pays for the tomatoes and what the farmer actually receives is huge.

\n

- City folk pay four times the cost, attributable to reasons like transport, losses, entry taxes and fat margins for middlemen.

- \n
- If some of these inefficiencies are removed, a larger share can go to the farmer.
- \n
- The dismantling of the provisions of the Agricultural Produce Market Committee Act in many states is ensuring the reduction of this gap.
- \n
- So also is **the establishment of electronic national agriculture market.**
- \n
- Any mechanism to connect buyers and sellers directly can benefit the farmer, as long as he retains some bargaining power.
- \n

\n\n

### **How else can the income be increased?**

\n\n

- \n
- The other aspect of increasing income share to farmers is through value added agriculture, namely **agro-processing**. This has immense potential.
- \n
- The groundnut may fetch only forty rupees a kilo to the farmer, but when it becomes masala coated peanuts in a fancy packaging, it fetches four hundred rupees a kilo.
- \n
- The growth of conventional consumer food companies is an indication of the great potential.
- \n
- But the trick is to ensure that farmers garner a proportionate share of the increase in and income that comes from agro-processing. This is where the farmer producer organisations (FPOs) come in.
- \n

\n\n

### **Farmer Producer Organisation:**

\n\n

- \n
- These are hybrid organisations combining features of a shareholder-owned company and cooperatives.
- \n
- **The FPO structure was formally introduced under Company Law, to enable farmers to become shareholders.**
- \n

- This ensures they have clout and bargaining power when dealing with consumers, small or big (such as organised retail supermarket chains).  
\n
- If a farmer sells groundnuts to a buyer, then no sales tax, VAT or now GST is applicable. But if an FPO sells salted peanut packets then all kinds of taxes become applicable.  
\n
- This defeats the economic viability since profit margins are slim and overheads can be large, especially when the scale is small.  
\n
- It is here that policy intervention is needed to ensure healthy growth of FPOs around the country.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express**

\n

