

Draft Model Contract Farming Act, 2018

Why in news?

\n\n

The Ministry of Agriculture recently released a draft Model Contract Farming Act, 2018.

\n\n

What is contract farming?

\n\n

\n

- Under this, agricultural production (including livestock and poultry) can be carried out based on a pre-harvest agreement.

\n

- The contract would be between buyers (such as food processing units and exporters), and producers (farmers or farmer organisations).

\n

- Accordingly, the producer can sell the agricultural produce at a specific price in the future to the buyer as per the agreement.

\n

- It reduces the risks of fluctuating market price and demand for the producer and non-availability of quality produce for the buyer.

\n

\n\n

How is the existing regulatory structure?

\n\n

\n

- **Provisions** - Currently, contract farming requires registration with the Agricultural Produce Marketing Committee (APMC) in few states.

\n

- Consequently, the APMCs can also resolve disputes arising out of these contracts.

\n

- Further, market fees and levies are paid to the APMC to undertake contract farming.

\n

- **Concerns** - The market fees and other levies are paid to the APMC when no services such as market facilities and infrastructure are rendered by them.

\n

- There are other concerns relating to stockholding limits and poor publicity of the benefits among the farmers.

\n

- **Model APMC Act** - The Model APMC Act, 2003 provided for contract farming.

\n

- This was meant for the states, to use as reference while enacting their respective laws.

\n

- 20 states have amended their APMC Acts; however, the procedures for registration and recording of agreements vary across states.

\n

- Also, only 14 states have actually notified rules related to contract farming, as of October 2016.

\n

\n\n

What are the key provisions of the present Act?

\n\n

\n

- The draft Model Act seeks to create a regulatory and policy framework exclusively for contract farming.

\n

- Based on this, the states can enact their own laws, as contracts fall under the Concurrent List.

\n

- **Authority** - The Act seeks to bring contract farming outside the ambit of the state APMCs.

\n

- Accordingly, buyers need not pay market fee and commission charges to APMCs.

\n

- It provides for a state-level **Contract Farming (Promotion and Facilitation) Authority** to ensure implementation.

\n

- Functions of the Authority include:

\n

\n\n

- \n
- i. levying and collecting facilitation fees
- \n
- ii. disposing appeals related to disputes under the draft Model Act
- \n
- iii. publicising contract farming
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- Further, the sale and purchase is out of the ambit of regulation of the respective state/UT Agricultural Marketing Act.
- \n
- **Support** - As per the Act, the producer can get support from the buyer for improving production through inputs.
- \n
- It may include that on technology, pre-harvest and post-harvest infrastructure, etc as per the agreement.
- \n
- However, the rights or title ownership of the producer's land cannot be transferred to the buyer.
- \n
- Also, the buyer cannot raise a permanent structure on the producer's land.
- \n
- **Registration** - Registering and Agreement Recording Committee will be set up at the district, taluka or block levels.
- \n
- It will consist of officials from departments such as agriculture, animal husbandry, marketing, and rural development.
- \n
- The Act specifies that every agreement should be registered with this Committee.
- \n
- **Disputes** - The draft Model Act offers ways for resolving any dispute arising between a producer and a buyer.
- \n
- They are:
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- i. negotiation or conciliation for a mutually acceptable solution
- \n
- ii. referring the dispute to a dispute settlement officer designated by the state government

- \n
- iii. appealing to the Contract Farming (Promotion and Facilitation) Authority if not satisfied by the decision of the dispute settlement officer
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- **Stockholdings limits** - The existing provisions of stockholding limits on contracted produce discourage buyers to enter into contracts.
- \n
- Under the draft Model Act, stockholding limits will not be applicable on produce purchased under contract farming.
- \n

\n\n

What are the concerns?

\n\n

- \n
- Given the states' lethargic response for the model APMC Act, the implementation of the model law on contract farming, even if passed by the states, is uncertain.
- \n
- It allows companies to enforce price cuts or reject the produce on the plea of inferior quality.
- \n
- But the quality parameters stipulated by the companies are often hard to meet.
- \n
- The restrictive provisions in the model act thus need a reassessment for balancing the interests of farmers and businesses.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: PRS India

\n