

## Draft rules for the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The draft rules for the Rights of Persons with Disabilities Act go against its spirit.

\n\n

### What are the shortcomings?

\n\n

\n

- There is just one rule for a chapter as important as “Education”.
- No rules have been proposed for many chapters, including crucial ones like “Social Security, Health, Rehabilitation and Recreation”.
- Many provisions contained in the earlier draft rules were removed in the current version.
- Of these, the most concerning was the **removal of the private sector from the rules**, including under the “equal opportunity policy”.
- It is only after protests that the private sector was brought within its ambit.
- The **clause 3(3) of the Bill** states that “No person with disability shall be discriminated on the ground of disability, unless it is shown that the impugned act or omission is appropriate to achieve a legitimate aim”.
- This clause is ambiguous and gives unrestrained power to the authorities to discriminate.
- This has neither adequately addressed in the rules.
- In case of misuse, **the burden of establishing whether the aim was “legitimate” or not rests on the affected party**, not on the authority concerned.
- The committee constituted to oversee the framing of the central rules had

**just three representatives from the disability sector.**

\n

- 14 conditions of disability are now being newly added to the existing seven. But there has been no representation from any of the newly recognised disabilities.

\n

\n\n

### **What should be done?**

\n\n

\n

- The processes such as rule-framing have to be carried out more thoroughly and collectively.
- There should be the involvement of its primary beneficiaries, and not just as a means of tokenism.
- The department should abstain from coming out with half-baked rules.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express**

\n

