

Emission Standards for Thermal Plants

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Thermal power plants failed to comply with new emissions standards notified in 2015, by December 2017 deadline.

\n

- Implementation remains unclear, even with the new opportunity to comply over a five-year period that ends in 2022.

\n

\n\n

Why is it significant?

\n\n

\n

- Air quality is no longer a seasonal irritant as a public health crisis is looming.

\n

- It may adversely impact public and private spending on health care.

\n

- The health cost borne by society, if the standards are not implemented, far exceeds the implementation cost.

\n

- It could make India's cities less attractive for investment.

\n

- It may also weaken long-term productivity, due to an unhealthy population.

\n

- So it is crucial that the emission standards are implemented by the power plants.

\n

\n\n

What are the directions?

\n\n

\n

- The Central Pollution Control Board issued an order in December 2017.

\n

- It lays out a clear implementation plan for

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. electrostatic precipitator (ESP) retrofits (components) aimed at particulate matter
- ii. flue-gas desulfurisation (FGD) units for reducing sulphur oxides (SOx) emissions from power plants

\n

\n\n

\n

- A successful reduction in emissions from power plants will depend on:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. plant operators investing in retrofits
- ii. regulators permitting a full price revision for additional costs
- iii. decline of bulk procurement costs for utilities

\n

\n\n

What are the concerns and challenges?

\n\n

\n

- Power Utilities currently incur losses to the tune of Rs 700 billion a year from their operations.
- This is because consumers are either subsidised or given free electricity, due to political pressures.
- Utilities are thus unable to recover even the cost of supplying power.
- So they are unlikely to recover higher costs resulting from plant retrofits.
- The installation and operation of these retrofits could increase the cost of procuring from coal-fired power stations.
- It could result in an increase of 20% on the average costs of procurement

today.

\n

- In turn, plant operators are concerned about their capital investment if utilities do not pay up.

\n

- The pace of implementation of the standards is thus well off the mark and there could be further delays.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Another challenge is that India has followed a command and control approach.

\n

- It does this by setting almost a uniform standard for all plants.

\n

- The United States had addressed an earlier acid rain issue through a comprehensive cap-and-trade mechanism for SO_x emissions.

\n

- But India has many challenges in rolling out a cap-and-trade regime including:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. low levels of monitoring of emissions

\n

- ii. low capacity within state pollution control boards

\n

- iii. lack of a cadre of administrators to monitor

\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- Bearing the additional costs of implementation is the first best outcome.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Having a cadre of monitors in place to monitor emissions standards is essential.

\n

- Another way is to implement emissions control in tandem with increasing the efficiency of power plants.
\n
- Meanwhile, a greater share of renewable electricity will demand a more flexible power system.
\n
- Some of the older plants could be renovated and modernised.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

\n

