

Ending Separation of Immigrant Families - US

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Trump has signed an executive order to end separation of immigrant families on the US-Mexico border.

 $n\n$

What was the separation policy?

 $n\n$

\n

• This was part of Trump administration's "zero-tolerance" approach to dealing with undocumented migrants.

۱n

 \bullet It involves separating children from undocumented migrant parents. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$

 $n\n$

What is the legal backing for this?

 $n\n$

\n

- There is no single U.S. law requiring families to be separated.
- \bullet But there are loopholes in legislations leaving way for two legal provisions: $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

\n

- i. a law against "improper entry by aliens" at the border
- ii. a decree known as the Flores settlement \n

 $n\n$

۱'n

• The first law makes it impossible to suddenly deport certain vulnerable

categories of migrants.

۱n

 \bullet These include families, asylum-seekers and unaccompanied minors.

\n

• To overcome this, Bush and Obama administrations adopted the <u>policy of</u> <u>"catch and release"</u>.

۱n

• Under this, migrants would be released from custody pending their deportation case adjudication.

۱n

• Family separation was unnecessary at that time.

\n

• But under the <u>zero-tolerance approach</u>, all undocumented migrants are charged in criminal courts.

\n

• Here, the <u>Flores settlement</u> applies.

\n

• This is because it limits to 20 days the length of time migrant children may be held in immigration detention.

\n

 $n\n$

Why is the policy reversed now?

 $n\n$

\n

• While their parents face charges, the children are transferred to a different location.

\n

• Separation leads to devastating consequences for the families.

۱n

- They remain in the custody of Customs and Border Protection personnel.
- \bullet Recently, disturbing images and videos of struggling children have emerged. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- In particular, there was a disturbing audio allegedly of crying children at one such unit.

۱n

• There was widespread protest about the ethics of using children to discourage further undocumented border crossings.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the present order?

 $n\n$

\n

• The order does not end the "zero tolerance" policy.

۱n

• It still calls for criminal prosecution of immigrants crossing the border illegally.

۱n

- \bullet However, now the illegal immigrant families would be detained together.
- It also moves parents with children to the front of the line for immigration proceedings.

\n

 $n\n$

What are the concerns?

 $n\n$

\n

• There are mixed reactions to the executive order, with significant concerns being highlighted.

\n

• The President's Executive Order seeks to replace one form of child abuse with another.

\n

• It may pave the way for the long-term incarceration of families in prison-like conditions.

\n

• Instead of protecting traumatised children, it may place them in more disturbing conditions.

\n

 \bullet It may indeed be a continuation of violation of children's human rights. $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

