

## Enhancing India's maritime capabilities

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The Indian Ocean Region (IOR) has long been significant and struggle for power in the region is gaining importance.

\n

- In this context, India must assess its maritime capabilities and respond with appropriate decisions.

\n

\n\n

### How are the power equations changing in the IOR?

\n\n

\n

- Control over the sea lanes of commerce played a very important part in earlier colonial years.

\n

\n\n

\n

- But this had started dwindling in later years, as colonisation began to give way.

\n

- **US** - The United States gradually took over the dominant roles in the IOR.

\n

- For the last 50 years, it has been the only nation with credible maritime power in the region.

\n

- Until two decades ago, even India was content to let the US act as the net security provider in the IOR.

\n

- **China** - Having become a major importer of energy from this region, China is also now seeking an IOR presence.

\n

- Towards this end, its ships and submarines have started making presence in these waters frequently.

- \n
- While facilities such as refuelling and the like are available at most ports, these cannot equal the support that a base could offer.
- \n
- So, China is seeking to set up facilities at Gwadar (Pakistan) and Djibouti (in the Horn of Africa), which could support 10,000 personnel each.
- \n
- While none of them can be said to be bases, they will give China the IOR credibility that it could otherwise not have.
- \n
- **India** - The Indian Ocean Region holds a key to India's security interests.
- \n
- Operating facilities in Indo-Pacific countries are essential for increasing India's naval reach.
- \n
- It is also essential for making India a credible maritime power.
- \n

\n\n

### How does the future look?

\n\n

- \n
- Countries are increasingly seeing support stations as vital for any meaningful operations at sea.
- \n
- These are places where forces could be positioned, replenished and deployed for reasonably long durations.
- \n
- Looked at holistically, in addition to the US, only China and India seem to hold considerable prospects to have some IOR capabilities.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- China does have a good number of seagoing platforms, but presently not have the bases to enable their sustained operations.
- \n
- On the other hand, India, with its regional presence, has the infrastructure but not the numbers.
- \n

\n\n

### What lies before India?

\n\n

\n

- **China** - A hostile presence, especially of the Chinese, can put India's assets under great threat.
- \n
- Contrarily, India can interdict Chinese supply lines, if needed, provided such capabilities are created.
- \n
- India must therefore work on this line.
- \n
- **Indo-Pacific** - India must also focus on mutually compatible engagement of the principal Indo-Pacific littorals.
- \n
- These include, apart from the US, Japan and Australia many other less focussed countries which include -
- \n

\n\n

\n

- i. South Africa and Mozambique which sit astride the southern routes.
- \n
- ii. Mauritius and Seychelles, which guard the approaches to the northern waters.
- \n
- iii. countries of the Gulf region.
- \n
- iv. immediate neighbours such as Sri Lanka and Maldives.
- \n

\n\n

\n

- While bases at these places might not be feasible, operating facilities which enhance reach and endurance are needed.
- \n
- **Besides**, India must make up the deficiencies, especially of submarines, whose numbers have fallen considerably.
- \n
- Ships which can transport desired forces across the seas are also important.
- \n
- Above all, the decision-making processes should be speeded up to enhance appropriately our maritime capabilities.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

