

Environmental Performance Index, 2018

What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

• India ranks 177 among 180 countries in the Environmental Performance Index 2018.

\n

- A drop in the index from previous year calls for a relook at the country's environmental policy. γn

\n\n

What is the Environmental Performance Index?

\n\n

\n

• EPI is a biennial report by Yale and Columbia Universities along with the World Economic Forum.

\n

- The report ranks 180 countries on 24 performance indicators. $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- It is spread across 10 categories covering environmental health and ecosystem vitality. \n
- Switzerland leads the world in sustainability, followed by France, Denmark, Malta and Sweden in the recent EPI. \n

\n\n

What is India's status?

\n\n

∖n

- India is among the bottom 5 countries on the index, at 177th place. \nphin
- This is a drop of 36 points from 141 in 2016.

∖n

- Emerging peer economies, Brazil and China, ranks 69 and 120, respectively. \nphin
- In the environmental health category, India is at the bottom of the list. $\slash n$
- And in terms of air quality it is placed third last. $\slash n$
- The overall drop is attributed to poor performance in the environment health policy and high pollution related deaths. \n
- Pollution from solid fuels, coal and crop residue burning, and emissions from motor vehicles are the major causes. \n
- Population growth, industrial production and automotive transportation continue to increasingly degrade the air quality.
 \n

\n\n

Why is environmental degradation a serious concern?

\n\n

\n

• Environmental degradation is beyond being seen as just a cost of development.

∖n

• **Health** - The report highlights air quality as the leading environmental threat to public health.

\n

• In India, deaths attributed to ultra-fine PM2.5 pollutants have largely risen over the past decade.

\n

- Air pollution is causing an estimated 1.4 million premature deaths in India. \n
- Economy The above rate translates to a welfare loss equivalent to around 8% of India's GDP in 2013.
 \n
- In addition, the cost of lost labour productivity was nearly 0.8% of GDP. \n
- Even these estimates do not reflect a true picture of the impact. h
- This is because the lack of scientific understanding of several other key ecological impacts limits the impact assessment. \n
- Sadly, the poor are affected disproportionately because of environmental degradation.

\n\n

What are the measures in this regard?

\n\n

\n

- The government has set some ambitious targets for environmental protection in the recent years.
 - ∖n T+
- It includes: \n

\n\n

∖n

- i. strict environmental standards for coal-fired power plants \n
- ii. target to implement Bharat Stage VI emission norms from April 1, 2020 $_{\n}$
- iii. efforts to produce and sell electric vehicles in the country by 2030 $\ensuremath{\sc vn}$
- $_{\rm iv.}$ revision of National Solar Mission, accelerating transition to renewable sources of power $_{\rm hn}$
- v. accordingly, revising the target for setting up solar capacity from 20 GW to 100 GW by 2021-22 $$\n$
- vi. assurance to clean up highly polluted Ganga by 2018 \n

\n\n

What are the shortfalls?

\n\n

\n

• Unfortunately, there appears to be a big gap between policy goals and action.

∖n

• India seems to be moving in the right direction on solar targets.

\n

- However, balancing it with other goals is seriously lacking. $\slash n$
- Evidently, the promise of implementing strict power plant emission norms by December 2017 has been relaxed.

\n

- Annual electronic waste collection target for electronics manufacturers was set at 30% of the products sold in the market. \n
- However, this has now been relaxed to 10%. $\ngreen n$
- The automobile industry has stated that full conversion to electric vehicles was realistically possible only by 2047. \nlambda
- This is in contrast to the government's targets. $\^{n}$
- CAG, in a recent report, highlighted the lag in developing an action plan and poor fund utilisation in the clean-up of the Ganga. \n

\n\n

What should be done?

\n\n

∖n

- The environmental costs of development should be duly recognised in addressing environmental problems.
 \n
- Transition to renewables, especially solar energy, should be accelerated by offering subsidies.

\n

- On the other hand, the more polluting fuels should be priced higher. $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- Strict environmental standards for coal plants should be put in place. $\slash n$
- Similarly, the transition to electric vehicle use should be aided by higher pricing of petrol and diesel. $\gamman{\label{eq:scalar}}{\label{eq:scalar}}$
- Existing environmental laws and regulations should be implemented properly with more political will.
 - ∖n
- The country's poor environmental performance calls for taking forward the environmental targets more seriously. \n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

