

EU's fine on Google

Why in news?

\n\n

The EU had slapped a record € 4.34-billion (\$5.04 billion) antitrust fine on Google.

\n\n

What is the reason?

\n\n

\n

- The penalty is for illegally using the Android operating system.
- Google has used Android to strengthen the dominance of its search engine.
- It aimed at ensuring that traffic on Android devices go to its search engine.

\n

\n\n

How did Google do this?

\n\n

\n

- Google imposed three types of restrictions on Android device manufacturers and network operators.
- **Pre-installation** - Manufacturers were asked to preload the Google Search app and Chrome browser.
- This was as a condition for licensing Google's Play Store.
- Pre-installation of the apps can create a status quo bias among the users.
- Evidently, Google Search app is consistently used more on Android devices.
- However, it is not the case with Windows Mobile devices where users must download it.

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Incentives** - Google offered financial incentives to large device manufacturers and network operators.

\n

- The condition was to exclusively pre-install Google Search across their entire portfolio of Android devices.

\n

- This significantly reduced their incentives to pre-install rival search apps.

\n

- However, EU said that by 2014, Google had stopped the practice.

\n

- **Tweaked versions** - Android is an open-source operating system.

\n

- It has its code published by Google online whenever a new version is released.

\n

- So based on this source code, developers like Android can create their own versions.

\n

- This could be done even without getting approval from Google.

\n

- So Google had not allowed the “forked” versions of Android to pre-install Google’s proprietary apps.

\n

- Google’s measure had thus prevented many manufacturers from developing and selling devices based on these tweaked versions.

\n

- E.g. Amazon’s Android fork called ‘Fire OS’

\n

- EU now insists that Google allow the forked versions of Android.

\n

\n\n

What are the earlier instances?

\n\n

\n

- **EU** - Earlier, the EU fined Google €2.42 billion.

\n

- This was on charges of prioritising its own services on the search platform.

\n

- By this, Google gave itself an advantage over third-party service providers.

\n

- **India** - The Competition Commission of India had earlier fined Google Rs 136 crore.
\n
- It was slapped for its unfair business practices in the Indian online search market.
\n
- The National Company Law Appellate Tribunal (NCLAT) stayed the ruling on Google's appeal.
\n
- Nevertheless, NCLAT asked it to pay 10% of the penalty. The tribunal will soon hear the matter.
\n

\n\n

What are the implications?

\n\n

- The recent penalty may not financially hurt Google with over \$100 billion in cash reserves.
\n
- But it could bring about changes in the way the Android ecosystem functions.
\n
- It would set a precedent for other antitrust cases against Google.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express

\n

