

Expanding Income support schemes

What is the issue?

\n\n

Despite challenges, agricultural investment support scheme could be implemented as an alternate for the farm loan waiver at an all-India level.

\n\n

What is the income support model of Telangana?

\n\n

\n

- Telangana's Rythu Bandhu Scheme (RBS) has a grant component of Rs 4,000 per acre per farmer for one season (kharif/rabi). \n
- In a year, an eligible farmer will receive Rs 8,000 of <u>grant for crop</u> <u>production</u> that includes purchasing of critical inputs, irrigation and hiring of farm labour.

\n

- The scheme covers 1.42 crore acres in the 31 districts of the state, and <u>every</u> <u>farmer owning land is eligible</u>.
- The objective is to help the farmer meet a major part of his expenses on seed, fertiliser, pesticide, and field preparation. (farm inputs) n
- The Telangana government has allocated about Rs 120 billion to target 5.83 million farmers under RBS in 2018-19. \n
- The fiscal burden of the scheme, if implemented by the Centre at an all-India level, will cost around Rs 600-700 billion per year. \n

\n\n

What are the challenges in rolling out at an all-India level?

\n\n

\n

• Identification - Identification of the right beneficiary could be a daunting

task to roll out the scheme, as 90-110 million smallholder farmers in India have joint land records.

∖n

- Also, these smallholder farmers are <u>yet to digitise their land records</u> on a centralised land revenue management information system. \n
- So, land record modernisation across India, especially in eastern and northeast regions, entails a huge spending on account of state governments. \n
- **Monitoring** Since <u>agriculture is a state subject</u>, implementing states for RBS need to come out with an execution plan. n
- Checks and balances should plug the loopholes on whether the beneficiaries actually use the grant amount for cultivation or divert the funds to other activities.

\n

• Although RBS is viewed as quasi-universal basic income (QUBI) support to farmers, the <u>grant support</u> provided is specifically targeted for <u>investment</u> <u>support</u> in agriculture.

\n

- Thus, apart from supporting farm inputs, the government still needs to ensure reasonable market price for those produce or else the produce should be covered under the price support scheme.
- **Fiscal Burden** Cost-sharing between the Centre and states should be in place before rolling out RBS.
 - \n
- It is estimated that the cost to cover only small and marginal farmers would be to the tune of 1.4 trillion per year based on net sown area in India. \n
- The average cost of production and cropping intensity across the implementing states will give a clearer picture of budget estimate for RBS. \n
- **Coverage** Since the scheme covers only farmers who have land records, it leaves out tenant cultivators, who grow crops on land they don't own and is taken on lease.

\n Thor

 \bullet Therefore, there should be some alternative mechanism to cover tenant farmers across the country. \n

\n\n

What should be done?

∖n

\n\n

- The NITI Aayog needs to execute RBS effectively, wherein it needs to devise a mechanism on how can RBS tie-up the existing market infrastructures and eventually function in unison.
 - ∖n
- Measures like eNAM, NABARD promoted grant-cum-loan based agribusiness, electronic procurement system and Direct Benefits Transfer, revamped crop and weather insurance schemes can be linked with RBS. \n
- Also, <u>farmer producer companies</u> (FPCs) can be an effective channel of implementing RBS.
 \n
- The objective of FPC is to organize farmers into a collective to improve their bargaining strength in the market.
- RBS implementation can be effective if FPCs can be targeted to <u>identify</u> the beneficiaries and <u>channelise</u> the stipulated grant support to farmers via FPCs.

∖n

 \bullet Improved technology support can also augment the identification process with the help of domain expert and supporting resource institutions. \n

\n\n

\n

- Thus, execution of RBS at the national level should be a concerted effort and converge existing government programmes, schemes and infrastructures essential to mitigate agrarian distress.
- Else, it won't be a one-stop solution either to farmer distress or to the burden of farm loan waivers.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Financial Express

\n

