

# Facebook's Data Partnerships Scandal

### Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

Facebook is facing fresh scrutiny over its data-sharing deals with smartphone manufacturers across the world.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is the deal about?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Facebook entered into "data sharing partnerships" with over 60 smartphone makers.

۱n

- They include brands like Apple, Samsung, Microsoft and BlackBerry.
- Major Chinese players Huawei, Lenovo, Oppo, and TCL are also in the list.
- Facebook gave smartphone makers "deep access" to data on users and friends.

\n

• The deals facilitated APIs (application programming interfaces), the ones from Facebook.

\n

• These have allowed device makers to create the FB experience on their operating systems.

\n

- The deals helped **Facebook** expand its **reach**.
- This was through features such as the 'like' and 'share' buttons being integrated into the operating systems of many devices.
- $\bullet$  The deals remained in place for over a decade until recently, when it started to wrap up some of them.  $\mbox{\sc h}$

\n\n

#### What are the concerns?

 $n\$ 

\n

- There is lack of clarity on the sort of deals struck with different players.
- $\bullet$  But the API would have given most manufacturers access to some data.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$
- They have given rise to concerns over how Facebook handles user data and privacy.

\n

- There is fear that device makers got a free hand to collect user data.
- Users' friends' relationship statuses, political leanings, locations, etc. became accessible.

\n

• It has also been alleged that Facebook's partnership deals with the device makers violated a consent decree.

\n

• It is signed by the social networking company with the US Federal Trade Commission (FTC).

۱n

- Under this, Facebook was required to obtain explicit consent from users before sharing their data with a third party.
- Consent is also required before changing or overriding users' privacy settings.

\n

 $\bullet$  If found in violation of this decree, Facebook can face legal action.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## What is the present tussle?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The FTC had in 2011 noted that third party developers had access to nearly all of a user's data.

\n

- $\bullet$  It had pulled up Facebook over the so called "Verified Apps" programme.  $\mbox{\sc h}$
- The programme did not verify the security of participating apps, and for handing over users' personal information to advertisers.

- $\bullet$  Facebook has however refuted the accusation of violation of the deals.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{^{\text{Nn}}}}$
- It says that all deals were tightly controlled.
- Also, that the partnerships were not the same as the ones it had with app developers.

۱'n

- Partnerships prevented Facebook information from being used for any other purpose than to recreate Facebook-like experiences.
- ullet The smartphone makers have also denied these allegations.
- $\bullet$  Nevertheless, the concerns over these deals cannot be brushed aside.
- $\bullet$  This is especially given the Facebook's record with third party apps.  $\ensuremath{^{\text{h}}}$

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

### **Source: Indian Express**

\n

