

Failing the NEET test

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- A 17-year-old student from Tamil Nadu, Anitha, has committed suicide for failing in the NEET and not making it to a medical college.

\n

- This has raised the need for looking into the objectives of the NEET that the country had adopted recently.

\n

\n\n

What did the NEET aim for?

\n\n

\n

- There was a long felt need to have **uniform criteria** to judge students across the country in terms of eligibility for medical courses.

\n

- This is against the backdrop that **medical seats** were decided not on the basis of merit but by **capitation fee**.

\n

- The burgeoning private medical colleges and their intention to recover the investment made, reduced the **quality of medical education**.

\n

- Also, with rising **inter-state competition**, states were driven to give better marks to their own students.

\n

- The resultant **loss of credibility for many state exams** necessitated some national-level certification for school leavers.

\n

- The Medical Council of India, thus, amended norms to streamline the admission mechanism by replacing all existing processes by the NEET.

\n

- It proposed the noble intention of **rewarding merit** and creating a **level-playing field** for all students.

\n

\n\n

Is NEET meeting its objectives?

\n\n

- \n
 - There are a number of factors which makes NEET fail on its purpose of providing a level playing field as it has introduced a range of **new inequalities**.
 - \n
 - Firstly, it is based on the CBSE's syllabus and thus discriminates against students from the state boards.
 - \n
 - Neither being the biggest board nor being the best for science education, there is no rationale behind preferring CBSE over other boards.
 - \n
 - This has ultimately **devalued** the worth of **school board results**.
 - \n
 - Nextly, the merit rewarding which NEET aimed for has become a casualty in itself.
 - \n
 - In the recent Anitha's case, her 98 % score in 12th standard has not helped her to get a medical seat as she got a low 12% in the NEET.
 - \n
 - The **gaps between school syllabi and the nature of NEET** have introduced their own inequalities furthering discrimination and marginalisation.
 - \n
 - Private coaching institutes are exploiting the situation and students from poor background become disadvantaged.
 - \n
 - Also, there is a factor of **language bias**, as there are complaints that the level of questions in the English version was easier than in the vernacular versions.

\n\n

What is the case with Tamil Nadu?

\n\n

- \n
 - The education system of India has always been chaotic with presence of multiple syllabi and long felt need for levelling it.

- In particular, education system like that of Tamil Nadu, based on rote learning i.e. mechanical memorisation of the subjects, is a major drawback.
\n
- Also, the school system is in the hands of big money, supported from behind by big politics.
\n
- The state has been trying by all means to get exception from NEET but has made little initiation in reforming the education system.
\n
- Politicians and political parties are largely playing identity politics and has long given false promises to students in the NEET issue.
\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

- Has Anitha failed in the NEET test or has the country failed with its NEET experiment is still to be answered.
\n
- A common entrance exam is indispensable to guarantee the supremacy of merit and work against corruption in medical admission.
\n
- But, essentially it has to be preceded by a uniform and quality education system.
\n
- Bringing parity in the testing system without the presence of parity in the school boards is a major flaw with the existent NEET system.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Indian Express, Business Standard

\n\n

\n\n

\n



SHANKAR
IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering