# Fair and Remunerative Price

## Why in news?

\n\n

The Centre's decided to increase the Fair and Remunerative Price (FRP) for sugarcane by 11% in the Fair and Remunerative Price (FRP) for sugarcane for the upcoming sugar season.

\n\n

## What is FRP?

\n\n

\n

- FRP is the minimum price that the sugar mills have to pay to farmers.
\n
- It is supposed to signal to farmers the need to plant more or less cane for the coming year.
\n

\n\n

## What is the necessity to increase FRP?

\n\n

\n

- The country's sugar output dropped to a seven-year low of 203 lakh tonnes (2016-17).
\n
- So the domestic availability of sugar is expected to be low this year.
\n
- It is this scenario the decision to hike the FRP appears to be an attempt to incentivise farmers to plant more cane for the upcoming season.
\n

\n\n

## What are the shortcomings of FRP?

\n\n

\n

- Successive governments have been prompt to hike the FRP in deficit years.
\n
- But they have subsequently shied away from slashing it in surplus years.
\n
- e.g Between 2010-11 and 2017-18, despite excess production in most years, the FRP for cane has nearly doubled from Rs 130/quintal to Rs 255/quintal.
\n
- Contrarily, they have been reluctant to allow higher cane prices to reflect in the end-product.
\n
- Sugar prices in the same period have barely risen 30%, leading to a loss-making industry and unpaid cane dues.
\n

\n\n

## What should be done?

\n\n

\n
- To resolve this issue, the Centre should implement long-overdue reforms and allow cane prices to be decided by a market-based formula that shares revenue between millers and farmers in a pre-decided proportion.
\n
- This should be accompanied by dismantling reservations that force farmers to sell their output to a single mill.
\n
- Allowing cane prices to respond to market forces is also critical to ensure that alternative food crops get equal priority when farmers make their sowing decisions.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n