

## Fallout from U.S.' new trade policy

### Why in news?

\n\n

The Trump administration recently released a document - **The President's 2017 Trade Policy Agenda**.

\n\n

### What are the implications?

\n\n

\n

- The most important is that the US would not be bound by WTO rules and rulings by WTO courts if these run counter to US interests.

\n

- Thus, if implemented, it would hit at the foundation of a rule-based multilateral trading system and may **potentially unleash a trade war** between nations if other countries also follow suit.

\n

- Items on the agenda include renegotiating/revising existing trade agreements like NAFTA and the US-Korea Free Trade Agreement apart from the Trans-Pacific Partnership (TPP).

\n

- Henceforth, US would prefer to negotiate bilateral trade deals, instead of multilateral or plurilateral ones.

\n

\n\n

### What is the logic behind this changed US position?

\n\n

The document emphasises unfair trade practices such as

\n\n

\n

- Currency manipulation (China and Germany have been running huge

trade surpluses against the US that the Trump administration believes are largely the result of undervalued yen and euro);

\n

- Non-transparent legal and regulatory regimes (China);
- \n
- Prevalence of 'dumping' (China, Japan, South Korea and India);
- \n
- Hidden subsidies (explicit export subsidies are banned by WTO rules); and
- \n
- Rampant violation of patents and copyrights (China).
- \n
- In addition, it points out that liberalised trade with Mexico and Canada (under NAFTA), China (after its entry into WTO), and Korea (US-Korea FTA) has caused huge job losses, especially in the manufacturing sector.
- \n

\n\n

### **What would be the consequences?**

\n\n

\n

- Most analysts agree that it is not possible to bring back manufacturing jobs to the US to any significant extent by renegotiating trade agreements.
- \n
- In most cases, it would not be cost effective.
- \n
- Imposing high tariffs on imports would not be WTO-compatible, and would raise the cost to American consumers.
- \n
- The other option is to automate; this would cost jobs.
- \n
- A trade war with other countries would also mean loss of exports and jobs.
- \n
- Abandoning of TPP and imposing tariffs on Chinese goods may push China to forge a mega trading bloc with Japan, South Korea, Asean, India and Australia, excluding the US.
- \n
- China will project itself as the champion of free trade and the US as protectionist.
- \n
- The mutual benefits of globalisation, though being increasingly questioned in the US and Europe, have not yet been lost in Asia and Australia.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Line**

\n

