

## **Fallouts of Aadhaar judgement**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The Supreme court recently retained section 7 of the Aadhaar act.

\n\n

### **What is the provision?**

\n\n

\n

- Section 7 states that central or state governments can make possession of an Aadhaar number mandatory for receipt of subsidies, benefits or services funded out of the Consolidated Fund of India.

\n

- An individual who has not been assigned an Aadhaar number, shall be offered an alternated viable means of identification till number is assigned to him/her.

\n

- The court retained this provision noting that it is aimed at offering benefits to the marginalised section and hence becomes an aspect of social justice.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the concerns?**

\n\n

\n

- As per the UIDAI statement, the 'failed percentage' of iris and finger authentication are 8.54% and 6%, respectively.

\n

- Since 2017, there have been at least 25 hunger deaths that can be traced to Aadhaar-related disruption in rations and pensions.

\n

- Though UIDAI has taken step to put in place an exemption mechanism to address disruption, there is little evidence of its implementation.

\n

- The no.of people (as % of total population) excluded from getting Aadhaar is

small.

\n

\n\n

\n

- But it happens to be the most vulnerable like bed-ridden old persons, victims of accidents, people with visual disabilities, etc.

\n

- An RTI response shows that 99.97% of those who got Aadhaar numbers did so on the basis of existing IDs.

\n

- Hence it clears the misconception that aadhaar is the only (or first) ID for millions of Indians to receive government benefits.

\n

- Also, each government programme has its own eligibility criterion to include or exclude people.

\n

- For instance, even in the PDS, there are State-specific inclusion/exclusion criteria and targeted/universalised PDS.

\n

- Now, after Aadhaar, beneficiaries under the state-specific list have to link their aadhaar number to remain eligible for PDS.

\n

- With Aadhaar being made compulsory, it has become **necessary but not sufficient** to get welfare.

\n

- The exclusion errors under PDS remains to be addressed.

\n

- **Identity frauds** where the welfare rolls in India included some ghost beneficiaries.

\n

- Quantity frauds, where a beneficiary is sold less than his/her entitlement, but signs off on the full amount also continued.

\n

- A rogue dealer can force the beneficiary to biometrically authenticate a lower purchase than what he/she was entitled to.

\n

- But the recent independent surveys and government data are beginning to suggest that it wasn't the main form of corruption.

\n

- For instance, linking Aadhaar cards with the PDS in Odisha led to the discovery of only 0.3% duplicates.

\n

- Hence the government should ensure that no beneficiary is left out and every

deserving person be included in the list of beneficiaries.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

