

Fare Hike in Delhi Metro

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• A steep 100% fare hike was implemented by the DMRC within a span of five months.

\n

• This ignores the fact that affordability is at the heart of all successful mass transit systems globally.

\n

 $n\$

Why was fair hiked?

 $n\n$

\n

- The DMRC has justified the hike citing loan repayments, mounting operational expenses and financial sustainablity.
- Other Indian cities like Kochi and Chennai too have comparable fares to DMRC - which makes them too a costly affair.
- This implies that despite India's commendable technical capacity to build and run metro systems their pricing policies are rudimentary.
- Costs are narrowly focused on financial sustainability disregarding affordability for the masses.
- \bullet The larger spillover benefits to the society due to affordable 'mass transit systems' are thereby mostly left unaccounbted. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

What is the affordability argument?

 $n\n$

- \bullet Pricing of urban mass transit systems has been a difficult challenge globally. \n
- \bullet It needs a careful balance between financial sustainability and affordability, especially for the lower income populations. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- These people usually stay in outermost pockets of the city and tend to travel the longest distances.

\n

\n

- Affordability of public transit is globally measured as percentage of income that is spent on transit.
- The following table on 'Affordability Index' of mass-transit systems across top global cities is an eye opener.

 $n\n$

GLOBAL COMPARISON OF AFFORDABILITY OF MASS TRANSIT SYSTEMS Minimum Highest Affordability Index: Daily Wage Round Trip % of Daily Wage Spent on in Local Mass Transit Fare Currency Paris €84 €3.8 4.50% **New York City** \$88.00 \$5.50 6.30% ¥168 ¥12 7.10% Beijing Singapore \$48.00 \$5.00 10.50% Tokyo ¥7,664 ¥620 8.10% Delhi* ₹108 21.10% ₹513 *Fare for Delhi assumes 10% Smart Card discount

 $n\n$

\n

- Clearly, Delhi which by far has the highest poverty rate among the cities in comparison, has a strikingly high metro fare structure.
- Delhi metro's fare structure also ignores other equity concerns by not providing any targeted concessions to children, students, or senior citizens which is a norm elsewhere.

\n

 $n\n$

Is the estimate accurate?

 $n\n$

- The estimated 'Affordability Index' for Delhi is at best a conservative figure and can be even worse in actuality.
- \bullet This is because the table has assumed that the minimum wage, fixed by the Delhi government is a ground reality which usually isn't. \n
- Also, the roundtrip fare on public transit is a lower estimate of the daily expense since it hasn't accounted further transport for lastmile connectivity (through buses, autos etc...).
- \bullet As Delhi metro's last mile connectivity is considerably poor, this could eat-up almost 40% of a wages earned by casual labourers. \n

 $n\n$

What are the dominating trends in pricing policy research?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet A paper in the 'American Economic Review' on mass transit pricing models for 20 US cities was published recently.
- It factored in the welfare effects of reduced congestion, pollution, accidents in fares.

۱n

• The results supported the efficiency of large fare subsidies stareing at upto 50% of operating costs.

\n

• In another study, that examined transit fares in London, subsidies were found to be the most effective way to usher in equity and re-distribute income.

\n

 $n\n$

What could be the larger economic benefits?

 $n\n$

- Arguements against transit subsidies often ignore the multiplier effect that an efficient and affordable mass transit system can have on the economy.
- In Delhi itself, in the last two years, the GDP (State) has risen by 13% annually with a concurrent surge in tax collections. \n

 \bullet While multiple factors enable this, the contribution of the Delhi metro in supporting high economic growth is far from negligible. \n

 $n\n$

What could be the strategy ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- Like many western metros, the Delhi government and the Centre could jointly consider subsidising the costs of DMRC.
- While financial obligations are worked out, care should be taken to retain the operational autonomy of DMRC.
- \bullet DMRC should also work for generating substantially more revenues from its non-fare collections (property rentals, advertisements etc). \n
- \bullet While such revenues for DMRC stands at 19% currently, Hong Kong metro generates as much as 37% from non-far revenue. \n

 $n\n$

What are the hopes?

 $n\n$

\n

- Delhi metro has been a model for all Indian cities.
- It also remains the city's best bet to fight worsening air pollution, congestion, and the declining modal share of public transit.
- \bullet It now has to make the curtail decision on whether to prioritise financial self-sustainability over enjoying an increased ridership. \n
- \bullet Its choices will indeed impact on the lives of millions people and the economic trajectory of the national capital in a big way. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

