

Fed Rate Hike - Rationale

Why in news?

\n\n

The Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) of the U.S. recently voted unanimously to increase the short-term interest rate.

\n\n

What is the recent decision?

\n\n

\n

- The Federal Open Market Committee (FOMC) is the monetary policymaking body of the Federal Reserve System in the U.S.

\n

- It voted unanimously to increase the short-term interest rate by a quarter of a percentage point, taking it from 2.25% to 2.5%.

\n

- This was the fourth increase in 12 months, a sequence that had been projected a year ago.

\n

- The FOMC members also indicated that there would be two more quarter-point increases in 2019.

\n

- The announcement soon met with widespread disapproval.

\n

\n\n

What is the concern?

\n\n

\n

- The FOMC statement gave no explicit reason for the interest-rate hike.

\n

- Economic growth in the U.S. has slowed in the current quarter.

\n

- The Fed's preferred measure of inflation (the rate of increase of the price of consumer expenditures) had fallen below the official 2% target.

- \n
- The Fed has long said that its interest-rate policy is “data dependent”.
- \n
- Given this, it is not clear why it went ahead with its previously announced plan to continue tightening monetary conditions.
- \n

\n\n

What could the possible reasons be?

\n\n

- \n
- **Inflation** - The current level of the real (inflation-adjusted) interest rate is remarkably low.
- \n
- The real interest rate was slightly negative before the recent increase and approximately zero even after it.
- \n
- A zero real rate might be appropriate in a very depressed economy.
- \n
- But it is not so in an economy in which real GDP was growing this year at more than 3% and unemployment rate was exceptionally low.
- \n
- Given this condition, an extremely low real interest rate can cause a variety of serious problems -
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- i. businesses respond to the low cost of capital by taking on excessive debt
- \n
- ii. banks and other lenders reach for yield by lending to low-quality borrowers and imposing fewer conditions on loans
- \n
- iii. portfolio investors can drive up the price of equities to unsustainable levels
- \n
- iv. governments are induced to run large deficits because the interest cost of servicing the resulting debt is relatively low
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- **Future** - FOMC needs a higher interest rate level now, for it to reduce interest rates later, during the next economic downturn.
- \n

- The current expansion, one of the longest since World War II, has now lasted 114 months since the upturn began in June 2009.
\n
- There are enough warning signs to indicate that the next recession could begin during the next two years. These include -
\n

\n\n

- \n
- i. falling equity prices
\n
- ii. weakness in the housing sector
\n
- iii. downturns in major European countries
\n
- iv. the uncertain level of US exports
\n

\n\n

- \n
- **Neutral level** - The FOMC might have wanted to return the real rate to the “neutral” level.
\n
- Neutral rate is the level that neither increases nor depresses overall demand, often referred to as r^* .
\n
- This r^* has declined substantially in recent years which reflects the declining interest rate set by the Fed and other central banks.
\n
- In the past, it was generally assumed that the real value of the neutral rate was equal to about 2%.
\n
- As the current real rate is close to zero, substantial increases are needed to get back to the traditional neutral level.
\n
- These three reasons, and perhaps others, justify the FOMC view that the current interest rate is too low and needs to be raised.
\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: Business Standard

\n



SHANKAR
IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering