

## Firecracker Ban in NCR

### Why in news?

\n\n

Supreme Court recently reinstated the 2016 temporary ban on the sale of fireworks in Delhi-NCR

\n\n

### What are the criticisms against the judgment?

\n\n

\n

- **Judicial overreach** - SC's judgment was driven primarily by concerns around air quality.

\n

- But it is to be noted that matters concerning policy and its implementation are best left to the legislature & executive.

\n

- The courts should ideally be stepping in only when there is neglect.

\n

- As the governments are already working to stop polluting practices, SC's intervention is seen as a problematic overstep.

\n

- **Previous experience** - The 2015 verdict that banned stubble burning in Punjab has proven ineffective.

\n

- The governments concerned still stumble to implement the same due to their inability to get farmers on board.

\n

- Only alternative approaches like making stubble itself a remunerative commodity have contributed to reduced burning, not the ban.

\n

- **Rationality** - Much bigger factors like vehicular pollution, industries and unpaved roads factors contribute more to the disastrous air quality of Delhi.

\n

- So the focus on fireworks alone seems disproportionate.

\n

\n\n

## What could be fallouts of the ban?

\n\n

- \n
- An outright ban may alienate people who are in the mood for a good celebration.
- \n
- Coming just 10 days before the festival, it will be tough to impose the ban on an industry that has already produced stocks.
- \n
- This might drive sales in black markets at inflated prices.
- \n
- The ban will also harm the livelihoods of numerous people involved in the fire-cracker supply chain.

\n

\n\n

## What could be the way forward?

\n\n

- \n
- Instead of ban, there should be a persuasive approach to give up the practise.
- \n
- Holistic solutuions that backed by sustained policy initiatives are needed to address multi-dimensional issues like pollution.
- \n
- Innovative ideas bagged by vigourous campaigns are needed to help people shift to eco-friendly ways.

\n

\n\n

## SC's previous views on cracker ban

\n\n

- \n
- **2015 Verdict** - A case seeking ban crackers throughout India was filed.
- \n
- It highlighted the health hazards & environmental impact of crackers.
- \n
- But SC categorically refused to ban people from bursting firecrackers.
- \n
- The court felt that it might be "dangerous" to infringe into the common man's right to enjoy his religious festivities.

\n

- Nevertheless, it ordered for time stipulations (10pm - 6am).

\n

- **2016 verdict** - The 2016 case sought a cracker ban specific to Delhi NCR.

\n

- The court imposed a ban in the wake of increased particulate matter concentration in the lower atmosphere above Delhi.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express, The Hindu**

\n

