

## **Fugitive Economic Offenders Bill, 2018**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The Union Cabinet has recently approved the proposal of the Ministry of Finance to introduce the Fugitive Economic Offenders Bill, 2018.

\n\n

### **What is the need for this legislation?**

\n\n

\n

- There have been several instances of economic offenders fleeing the jurisdiction of Indian courts.

\n

- E.g. Vijay Mallya fled the country to avoid being arrested for economic fraud, recent Nirav Modi-PNB fraud.

\n

- The absence of such offenders from Indian courts has several adverse consequences such as -

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. hampering investigation in criminal cases

\n

- ii. wasting time of courts of law

\n

- iii. undermining the rule of law in India

\n

- iv. non-repayment of bank loans

\n

- v. worsening financial health of the banking sector

\n

\n\n

\n

- The existing civil and criminal provisions in law are not entirely adequate to deal with the severity of the problem.

- \n
- In this context, Budget 2017-18 hinted at the introduction of legislation to confiscate the assets of such absconders.
- \n
- The Bill comes as an effective, expeditious and constitutionally permissible deterrent to fugitive (escaping) economic offenders.
- \n
- This would also help banks and other financial institutions to achieve higher recovery from financial defaults.
- \n

\n\n

### **What are the key provisions?**

\n\n

- \n
- **Declaration** - The Bill makes provisions for a Court, 'Special Court' under the Prevention of Money-laundering Act, 2002.
- \n
- A Director, appointed by the central government, will have to file an application to a Special Court.
- \n
- This is to declare a person as a Fugitive Economic Offender.
- \n
- **Fugitive Economic Offender** is a person against whom an arrest warrant has been issued in respect of a scheduled offence.
- \n
- And also who has left India to avoid criminal prosecution, or being abroad and refuses to return to India to face criminal prosecution.
- \n
- A scheduled offence refers to a list of economic offences contained in the Schedule to this Bill.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- **Features** - Confiscation of the property of the fugitive economic offender resulting from the proceeds of crime.
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- Confiscation of other property belonging to such offender in India and abroad, including benami property.

\n

- The Act disqualifies those declared as offenders from either filing or defending a civil claim in court.

\n

\n\n

\n

- It is ensured that Courts are not over-burdened with such cases.

\n

- Thus, only those cases in which total value involved is 100 crore rupees or more are within the purview of this Bill.

\n

- **Mechanisms** - A special forum would be created for expeditious confiscation of the proceeds of crime, in India or abroad.

\n

\n\n

\n

- The Special court will appoint an 'administrator' to oversee the confiscated property.

\n

- The Administrator will manage and dispose of the confiscated property under the Act.

\n

- **Remedies** - The alleged Fugitive Economic Offender may return to India and submit to the appropriate jurisdictional Court.

\n

- In this case, the proceedings under the proposed Act would cease by law.

\n

- This is, provided that the offender returns in the course of the proceeding prior to the declaration.

\n

- Besides, the Court will issue a notice to the person named a 'fugitive economic offender'.

\n

- Within 6 weeks from the date of notice, the person will have to present him/herself, failing which he/she will be declared a 'fugitive economic offender'.

\n

- And their properties as listed in the Director's application will be confiscated.

\n

- There is a 180-day window during which the property will remain attached, with a provision for appeal against confiscation order.

\n

- **Constitutional safeguards** - The necessary constitutional safeguards provided for in the Bill include:

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. providing hearing to the person through counsel

\n

- ii. allowing him/her time to file a reply

\n

- iii. serving notice of summons, whether in India or abroad

\n

- iv. appealing to the High Court

\n

\n\n

\n

- **Principle** - The Bill adopts the principle of non-conviction-based asset confiscation for corruption-related cases.

\n

- Notably, it is enabled under the United Nations Convention against Corruption which India has ratified in 2011.

\n

- The convention envisages domestic laws for confiscation of property without a criminal conviction.

\n

- This applies to cases in which the offenders cannot be prosecuted for reasons of death, flight or absence.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the concerns?**

\n\n

\n

- **Existing provisions** - Legal provisions to confiscate the assets of offenders already exist; however inadequate.

\n

- These include the provision in the Code of Criminal Procedure for attachment of the property of 'proclaimed offenders'.

\n

- It also includes the Acts targeting smugglers, foreign exchange offenders and traffickers in narcotics.

\n

- **Concerns** - Proceedings for forfeiture of property under these have been marked by shortcomings and procedural delays.  
\n
- Disposal of confiscated assets had not been easy, especially at a price sufficient to recoup losses or pay off all creditors.  
\n
- Also, how far will the threat of confiscation of property be a serious deterrent to the offender is highly uncertain.  
\n
- **Challenges** - Under the present, Bill confiscation is not limited to the proceeds of crime.  
\n
- It further extends to any asset owned by an offender, including benami property.  
\n
- Such clauses are liable for legal challenge, especially if there are third party interests and doubts about real ownership.  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: PIB, The Hindu**

\n

