

## **GMR Airports Stake Sale**

### **What is the issue?**

- The Competition Commission of India (CCI) approved the deal on acquisition of a 55.2% in GMR's airports business.
- While the CCI has approved the deal, the Airports Authority of India (AAI) has sought the solicitor general's opinion.

### **What is the deal?**

- The GMR Group is an infrastructural company.
- The GMR Airports Ltd (GAL) presently owns and operates Delhi International Airport Ltd (DIAL) and Hyderabad International Airports.
- The approval for the stake sale was given to -
  - i. TUTPL which is a subsidiary of Tata Realty and Infra which in-turn is a wholly-owned subsidiary of Tata Sons
  - ii. Valkyrie, an affiliate of GIC Private Limited
  - iii. Solis, an investment vehicle of the SSG group
- The deal will bring Rs 8,500 crore to the GAL.
- The stake sale will give Tatas a stake of about 20% in GAL.
- On the other hand, the GIC and SSG will hold about 15% and 10%, respectively.
- GMR Infra's stake will come down to about 54% while an employee welfare trust will hold about 2%.
- The new investors led by Tatas will find representation on the board, but the management will continue to be run by GMR.

### **What is the concern in terms of the stakes?**

- The principal problem is that the Tata group has majority stakes in two domestic airlines - Vistara and Air Asia India.
- If the deal between the Tata group and GAL goes through, the Tata group will have a 20% stake in GAL, and, by extension, a 12.8% stake in DIAL.
- This conflicts with a 2006 agreement between the AAI and GAL, which capped an airline's shareholding to 10% in DIAL.
- Placing a limit on an airline's shareholding in an airport is valid from the point of view of competition law.
- This is because it is possible for an airline-owned airport to accord

unfavourable slots in terms of timing and placement to competing airlines.

- So, the argument for minimising airline stakes in airports, especially major ones, is to avoid a conflict of interest with other user-airlines.
- But the 2006 agreement appears excessively restrictive.
- This is especially true when it constrains the airport operator's ability to attract funds for expanding and modernising the country's busiest airport.

### **What is the concern with AAI's referral?**

- The AAI's referral may be a case of abundant caution on the state-owned airport operator's part.
- Both GAL and the Tata group have given assurances that they would not breach conflict-of-interest issues.
- But, these pledges should not be considered a sufficient condition to pass the deal.
- A review of the rule as a matter of principle would be a better idea for India's rapidly expanding airports business.

**Source: Economic Times, Business Standard**

