

## Grihalakshmi Magazine Case

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The bare upper body has always been a sticky topic in Kerala's political context, with multiple movements associated with it.

\n

- In this context, the cover page of a Malayalam magazine that depicted a woman breastfeeding a baby, has stirred a controversy.

\n

- The Kerala High Court has now upheld the magazine's right to expression in its verdict on a case filed in this regard.

\n

\n\n

### What is Kerala's historic context regarding bare-breasts?

\n\n

\n

- **Oppression** - Many battles were fought in Malayali society of the early 20<sup>th</sup> century (and before) over the exposure of the upper body.

\n

- Caste rules in Kerala had traditionally required that the lower castes (both female and male) must be bare chest in front of ostensible social superiors.

\n

- Perhaps, such exposure of lower castes was a way of making their vulnerabilities visible to the powerful social classes.

\n

- **Reform** - While the exposure rule was gender neutral, social reformism in general seemed outraged only by the nakedness of the female form.

\n

- This bias was because 19<sup>th</sup> century reformists largely based their worldview in "Victorian morality", that was hawkish to upper caste sexual predators.

\n

- By around the 1970s, reformists had managed to secure for all women, the right to cover their breasts, while the male bare chest was hardly noticed.

\n

- Nonetheless, breastfeeding openly continued to persist widely in the keralite society till the turn of the 21<sup>st</sup> century.

\n

\n\n

## **What has brought the libertarian debate back to centre-stage?**

\n\n

\n

- The cover-page of a leading Malayalam women's magazine "Grihalakshmi" recently displayed the image of "a woman breastfeeding a baby".

\n

- This attracted courts cases and stirred a controversy, with some calling it obscenity and others seeing it as an excellent artistic expression.

\n

- A writ petition was filed in Kerala High Court, claiming that the image in Grihalakshmi was obscene, insulting to women and in violation of child rights.

\n

- But the judges in their verdict have explicitly stated that these claims were unfounded and cited recent scholarship on free speech to drive their point.

\n

- Kerala High court has now dismissed the claims of obscenity and given an eloquent judgment, which is likely to have far reaching implications.

\n

- The court has also advocated a liberal attitude and also cautioned against an anti-pornographic position sliding into rank conservatism.

\n

- The verdict has hence been hailed as a vindication of the righteousness of the bold depiction of motherhood and the mother-child physical bond.

\n

\n\n

## **What could be read form the image?**

\n\n

\n

- The magazine carried an expressive image of a young model breast-feeding a baby, and thereby breaking a relatively modern but entrenched social taboo.  
\n
- From the depiction, one can infer that the woman is clearly married, respectable, and elite in appearance (unlike the topless downtrodden).  
\n
- More importantly, the image has been clearly intended as maternal with all its motherly charms, and begs to clearly distinguish itself from sexual gaze.  
\n
- Hence, there has been an attempt portray a familiar stereotypical mother's body that is locally perceived as non-sexual and above physical pleasures.  
\n
- A critical scrutiny of the statement being made on biological motherhood would've been a healthy; but it was lost amidst the conservative opposition.  
\n
- Nonetheless, having beautifully portrayed a professionally-groomed young woman in a home setting, the picture deserves artistic appreciation.  
\n
- From another perspective, it is a case of "a modern woman balancing community sanctioned traditional ways with her professional responsibilities".  
\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n\n

\n