

## Gujarat Rajya Sabha Election

### Why in news?

\n\n

Two votes cast in Gujarat Rajya Sabha election were invalidated, when two electors cast their ballots and showed it.

\n\n

### What is the ground for invalidation?

\n\n

\n

- As per the Conduct of Election Rules 1961, Rajya Sabha elections calls for a ballot-in-secret.

\n

- Rule 39A mandates that the elector cannot declare his/her ballot to anyone.

\n

- Any deviation in this results in the invalidation of the ballot by the presiding officer.

\n

\n\n

### How can secret voting be achieved?

\n\n

\n

- Secrecy aims to protect the vote as it affords the right to the voter to keep silent over the choice of candidate.

\n

- This is achieved by two means - The duty-based measure and the rights-based measure.

\n

- **The rights-based measure** provides the voter the right to keep his/her vote secret.

\n

- According to this, election authorities should provide voting facilities that do not disclose the vote. But the voter can choose not to opt for secrecy.

\n

- **The duty-based measure** imposes secrecy as a statutory duty not only on the election authorities but also on the voter.  
\n
- The voter even by his/her consent cannot declare his/her choice; doing so would invalidate his/her vote.  
\n
- Rule 39A creates secrecy in the nature of a duty-based measure.  
\n

\n\n

## **What are the flaws in this system?**

\n\n

- \n
- It is argued that the voter should not be given an option to declare his/her vote because the flexibility would allow others to pressure the voter informally into declaring his/her choice.  
\n
- In reality, Rule 39AA of the Conduct of Election Rules defeats this purpose.  
\n
- Rule 39AA mandates that an elector belonging to a political party must declare his vote to the party agent, if the political party has issued a whip regarding the vote.  
\n
- Refusing to do so is a violation of the election procedure and the vote stands invalidated.  
\n
- It allows for internal voter intimidation by parties.  
\n
- Also, Rule 39A applies only while the election process is underway.  
\n
- It does not prohibit a voter from declaring his/her vote after the process is completed.  
\n
- It cannot control the behaviour of the elector outside the ballot box.  
\n
- Therefore, the scheme of duty-based secrecy fails.  
\n

\n\n

## **What should be done?**

\n\n

\n

- Universal declaration could ease the political pressure that an elector might face.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Ballot secrecy should be guided by the idea of “consent” or "choice"; the means to adopt should be the rights-based measure.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Indian Express**

\n

