

## Higher Education Commission of India Bill

\n\n

### Why in news?

\n\n

\n

- The draft Higher Education Commission of India (HECI) Bill is now in the public domain.

\n

\n\n

### What is the bill on?

\n\n

\n

- It proposes to replace the University Grants Commission (UGC) with a Higher Education Commission of India.

\n

- This is to provide for more autonomy and facilitate holistic growth of this sector.

\n

- It is also to offer greater opportunities to Indian students at more affordable cost.

\n

- The new commission will cover all fields of education.

\n

- This is however except medical, agriculture and institutions set up under Central and State Acts.

\n

- The exemption does not cover institutes of national importance.

\n

\n\n

### What are the provisions?

\n\n

- \n
- It proposes a clear separation between academic and grant-giving functions.  
\n
- Academic functions would be discharged by the HECI.  
\n
- The academic functions include  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- i. promoting the quality of instruction  
\n
- ii. maintenance of academic standards  
\n
- iii. fostering the autonomy of higher education institutions  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- The HECI will be bestowed with comprehensive and overriding powers.  
\n
- This includes ordering the closure of institutions, in all academic and related matters.  
\n

\n\n

- \n
- The grant-giving function will be by the Ministry of Human Resource Development (MHRD) directly.  
\n

\n\n

## **What is the need?**

\n\n

- \n
- **Multiple regulators** - The regime of multiple regulators started in the mid-1980s.  
\n
- Various professional bodies also started asserting themselves as regulators from early 1990s.  
\n
- It marked a galloping growth of the education sector with the setting up of many private universities.  
\n

\n\n

\n

- Multiple bodies in addition to the existing ones came in to cope with the increasing complexity.

\n

- E.g. UGC, All India Council for Technical Education, professional bodies like the Bar Council of India and Council of Architecture.

\n

- **Quality** - The heavy hands of multiple regulators have not yielded the desired dividends.

\n

- Uneven and deteriorating standards in the quality of student output was witnessed.

\n

- This was against the requirements of the job market.

\n

- It is in this context that the need for a single regulatory body arose.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

