

## **Hung Assembly - Goa & Manipur**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

Governor of Goa ignored the established principle of inviting the single largest party and appointed BJP leader Manohar Parrikar as the CM.

\n\n

### **What is the situation?**

\n\n

\n

- The Congress has won 17 seats in Goa and the BJP has 13 MLAs in a House of 40.

\n

- The Governor did not consult the single largest party and invited BJP, who formed an alliance, to form the government.

\n

- A similar situation has developed in Manipur, where its Governor invited the BJP to form the government, which won fewer seats than the Congress.

\n

- These parties did not fight the election as part of a coalition.

\n

- So the Congress should have been invited first.

\n

- The BJP's claim should have been considered only if the Congress pleaded inability or failed the floor test.

\n

- It should not be based on who forms the alliance faster.

\n

- Speed cannot be the overriding or pressing consideration for the governor while assessing a party's claim to form government.

\n

- Therefore decisions by both the Governors reflect partisanship.

\n

\n\n

### **What are Sarkaria Commission recommendations?**

\n\n

\n

- The Constitution of India does not mandate any procedure to be followed by the Governor, in case of hung assembly.

\n

- The convention of inviting the single largest party in such a case has been outlined by the Sarkaria Commission, which studied Centre-state relations in the 1980s.

\n

- It specifically dealt with the situation where no single party obtained absolute majority.

\n

- It provided the order of preference the Governor should follow in selecting a CM in such a situation -

\n

\n\n

\n

1. **An alliance of parties** that was formed prior to the elections.
2. **The single largest party** staking a claim to form the government with the support of others, including independents.
3. **A post-electoral coalition of parties**, with all the partners in the coalition joining the government.
4. A post-electoral alliance of parties, with some of the parties in the alliance forming a government and the remaining parties, including independents, supporting the government from outside.

\n

\n\n

\n

- They were affirmed by a Constitution Bench of the SC in *Rameshwar Prasad v Union of India* in 2005.

\n

\n\n

### **What are Punchhi Commission recommendations?**

\n\n

\n

- The Justice M.M. Punchhi Commission on Centre-State Relations in 2010 laid down some guidelines to be followed in the appointment of a chief minister

by a governor.

\n

- It also said the governor should invite the leader of “a pre-poll alliance commanding the largest number” or the “largest single party” to form the government in case no party or pre-poll coalition has a clear majority.

\n

- According to Bommai judgment, such a CM must prove the majority on the floor of the assembly.

\n

\n\n

## **What was SC's ruling?**

\n\n

\n

- Congress filed a petition challenged the Governor's decision in the Supreme Court.

\n

- SC asked the BJP government in Goa to prove its majority within 48 hours, instead of the 15 days' time given by the Governor.

\n

- But it was a half-measure.

\n

- It should have upheld the principle of inviting the single largest party first.

\n

\n

