

## Implementation of RTE Act

### What is the issue?

\n\n

The sad state of affairs in the education system speaks for the lackadaisical implementation of provisions of the RTE Act.

\n\n

### What did the RTE Act provide for?

\n\n

\n

- Article 21-A was inserted through the 86th Amendment to the Constitution brought in 2002.

\n

- This made free and compulsory education of children in the 6 to 14 age group in India a fundamental right.

\n

- This right was to be governed by law, as the state may determine.

\n

- Accordingly, the enforcing legislation for this came as the Right of Children to Free and Compulsory Education (RTE) Act in 2010.

\n

- It establishes that the onus to ensure free and compulsory education lies on the state.

\n

- Even years after its enforcement there are concerns in implementation of its provisions and the overall school education system.

\n

\n\n

### What are the concerns?

\n\n

\n

- **Liability** - The State governments and Panchayats are tasked to ensure that each child is brought into the schooling system and “retained” for eight years.

\n

- However, the 'compulsory' and 'state liability' commitments of the Act fall short of being imbibed by the educational bureaucracy.

\n

- **Dropouts** - The problem now is more about dropouts than children who were never enrolled.

\n

- Sadly, tracking dropouts and mainstreaming them into age-appropriate classes have been subsumed into existing scheme activities and lacks special attention.

\n

- Out-of-school children, especially girls, disabled, orphans and those from single parent families are hard to reach.

\n

- It necessitates that the solutions are more localised and contextualised.

\n

- **Pupil-teacher ratio** -33% of the schools in the country do not have the requisite number of teachers, as prescribed in the RTE norms.

\n

- The percentage of schools that are pupil-teacher ratio (PTR)-compliant varies from 100% in Lakshadweep to 16.67% in Bihar.

\n

- States shy away from recruiting or posting more teachers keeping in mind higher salaries and finances.

\n

- Low Pupil-teacher ratio impacts other provisions including continuous assessment, child learning at her own pace and 'no detention' policy.

\n

- **Decentralisation** - As per the RTE provisions, the academic calendar will be decided by the local authority, which in most cases is the Panchayat.

\n

- This provision recognises the vast cultural and regional diversities within the country.

\n

- It facilitates scheduling the school working days considering the local festivals, sowing and harvesting seasons, and even natural calamities.

\n

- This would be conducive for exponentially increasing attendance and teaching-learning.

\n

- This would also strengthen local panchayats to take the responsibility of ensuring that their schools function on the prescribed instruction days.

\n

- But for inexplicable reasons, the educational bureaucracy has not allowed

the decentralisation of academic schedules even in districts.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the way forward?**

\n\n

\n

- Strategies should focus more on ensuring retention of children than just enrolling the un-enrolled in schools.

\n

- Teacher provisioning is crucial for a sound schooling foundation and thus it needs the first priority in funding.

\n

- In States with an adequate overall number of teachers, their positioning or posting requires rationalisation according to the number of students.

\n

- More decentralisation of powers to the local bodies can adapt the education system to regional needs and support its enhancement.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

