

## Implications of Enhancing Crop MSPs

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The hike in MSPs is a big step, but it will not benefit all farmers.
- Comprehensive reforms are essential to address prevalent agrarian distress.

\n

\n\n

### What is the extent of change in the MSP rates?

\n\n

\n

- The Centre has recently cleared a hike in the Minimum Support Prices (MSPs) for the “kharif summer crop”.

\n

- The rise ranges from a modest 3.7% increase for urad to as much as a 52.5% for the cereal ragi over the previous season.

\n

- ‘Commission for Agricultural Costs and Prices’ (CACP) is said to have gone by this cost-plus-50% principle - in accordance with government’s promise.

\n

- Significantly, for some crops the prices were considerably higher than the 50% mark - for Bajra, it was 97% above production cost.

\n

- On an average, the MSP hike notified for 17 kharif crops is about 25% higher and constitutes the biggest hike since 2013-14.

\n

- For MSP calculations, production costs were estimated by calculating the cost of farm inputs, and adding it to the value of unpaid family labour involved.

\n

\n\n

## What are the implications?

\n\n

\n

- **Political** - The announcement was clearly to appease farmers, who over the past year spearheaded widespread protests over the rural distress.

\n

- NDA government was hawkish towards MSPs in its initial years, and also cautioned state governments against doling out populist farmer schemes.

\n

- But with elections approaching, the current announcement is a clear indication that it has loosened its string and has subdued its concerns regarding inflation.

\n

- **Economic** - The impact of these hikes on 'Consumer Price Inflation' (CPI) is expected to vary between 0.5% and 1% by the end of 2018-19.

\n

- The Centre's fiscal arithmetic may not be affected much if the outlay on procurement is around Rs. 15,000 crores (0.1% of GDP).

\n

- But these costs could mount based on the procurement strategy and the new mechanism for MSP enforcement.

\n

\n\n

## What needs to be done further?

\n\n

\n

- **Structural** - MSP mechanism is primarily enforced through official procurement only for wheat and paddy, and not other crops.

\n

- Hence, mere announcement of prices for other crops is unlikely to suffice in ensuring farmers get those returns.

\n

- The Budget speech had promised that Niti Aayog would work with centre and state governments to work out a mechanism to cover all crops.

\n

- While the status of this is not clear, it is speculated that it may include some sort of a 'gap-funding mechanism' to farmers if market rate falls below MSP.

\n

- **Markets** - Rural incomes may rise because of enhanced MSPs, but

reforms to free agricultural markets are vital to prevent a distortion due to MSPs.

\n

- Easing onerous stockholding limits under the “Essential Commodities Act” and avoiding frequent curbs on farm exports are other things to be done.

\n

\n\n

## **Quick Facts:**

\n\n

## **Commission for Agricultural Costs and Prices (CACP):**

\n\n

\n

- It is an attached office of the “Ministry of Agriculture and Farmers Welfare”.

\n

- It is mandated to recommend “Minimum Support Prices” (MSPs) and incentivize cultivators to adopt modern technology, and raise productivity.]

\n

- CACP submits its recommendations to the government in the form of ‘Price Policy Reports’ for 5 categories of crops every year.

\n

- The categories are - Kharif crops, Rabi crops, Sugarcane, Jute and Coconut.

\n

- Importantly, while CACP recommends MSPs, it is the “Cabinet Committee on Economic Affairs” (CCEA) of the Union government takes a final decision.

\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n