

## Independence of Judiciary

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- In a medical college bribery case, an advocate claimed that the FIR in the case directly involves the Chief Justice of India (CJI).

\n

- The Judge hearing the case however repeatedly stressed that it was contempt to say that the FIR named the CJI.

\n

- It becomes imperative, in this context, to understand the various provisions in place for protecting the independence of judiciary.

\n

\n\n

### What are the legal provisions?

\n\n

\n

- **FIR** - A five-judge Constitution Bench of the Supreme Court had earlier made clear the procedure in registering FIR.

\n

- Unless the government first “consults” the CJI, no criminal case shall be registered under Section 154 of the CrPC (an FIR) against a judge or Chief Justice of the HC, or a judge of the SC.

\n

- CJI’s assent is imperative as he/she is a “participatory functionary” in the appointment of judges.

\n

- If the Chief Justice is of the opinion that it is not a fit case for proceeding under the Act, the case shall not be registered.

\n

- If the CJI allows registration of FIR, the government shall, for the second time, consult the CJI on the question of granting sanction for prosecution.

\n

- If the CJI himself/herself is the person against whom the allegations are received, the government shall consult any other judge or judges of the

Supreme Court.

\n

- Notably, the majority in the Constitution Bench classified a judge as a “public servant”.

\n

- **Arrest** - The Supreme Court has also laid down guidelines for the arrest of a judicial officer of the subordinate judiciary.

\n

- The court has held that a judicial officer should be arrested for any offence only under intimation to the District Judge or the High Court.

\n

- The immediate arrest shall only be a “technical or formal arrest”.

\n

- After arrest, it should be immediately communicated to the District and Sessions Judge of the district concerned and the Chief Justice of the HC.

\n

- The arrested judicial officer shall not be taken to a police station without the prior orders of the District Judge.

\n

- And no statements shall be recorded from him or her except in the presence of a counsel. He or she will also be not handcuffed.

\n

- **Proceedings** - Provisions in Judges (Protection) Act, 1985 protects judges and former judges of the SC and HCs from any civil or criminal proceedings.

\n

- This applies for any act, thing or word committed, done or spoken by him/her in the course of their judicial duty or function.

\n

- No court shall entertain such complaints.

\n

- Section 77 of the Indian Penal Code exempts judges from criminal proceedings for something said or done during judicial duties.

\n

- The government can however initiate criminal proceedings against a sitting or former judge of a superior court if it can produce material evidence to show that a judgment was passed after taking a bribe.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*