

India-U.S. 2+2 talks - Challenges Ahead

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- The first round of the India-U.S. 2+2 talks is to be held soon in Delhi.
- Overcoming the challenges in bilateral ties needs a creative thinking in the 2+2 dialogue.

\n

\n\n

How significant is the dialogue?

\n\n

\n

- The 2+2 talks is a meet at the level of External Affairs and Defence Ministers of India and their U.S. counterparts.
- It is a significant development in the two-decade-old trend line of India-U.S. relations.
- The trend line of the relations has not been all smooth.
- However, there is a growing strategic engagement between the countries.

\n

\n\n

Why is the strategic convergence?

\n\n

\n

- Three factors have primarily contributed to the emerging strategic convergence.
- The end of the Cold War provided an opportunity to both countries to review their relationship.

\n

- The changing global and regional realities demanded new partnerships and strategies.
\n
- Also, the opening of the Indian economy offered scope for an enhanced relationship.
\n
- The American private sector began to look at India with greater interest.
\n
- Eventually, trade grew and now stands at more than \$120 billion a year.
\n
- It is moving towards an ambitious target of touching \$500 billion in five years.
\n
- There are foreign direct investments from both sides, reflecting a sustained mutual interest.
\n
- The third factor is the political coming of age of the three-million-strong Indian diaspora.
\n
- The Indian diaspora is making a strong presence and influence in the political and other platforms of the U.S.
\n

\n\n

What are the irritants?

\n\n

- The U.S. is used to dealing with allies and adversaries.
\n
- The allies have invariably been junior partners in a U.S.-dominated alliance structure.
\n
- India is neither an ally nor an adversary, and is determined to safeguard its strategic autonomy.
\n
- So Indians become wary of the U.S.'s attempts to drive unequal bargains.
\n
- On the other hand, Americans find the Indian approach rigid and superior.
\n
- So developing the culture of talking to each other as equal partners is a challenge.
\n

\n\n

How has the defence cooperation evolved?

\n\n

- \n
- The strategic dialogue on nuclear issues shifted gears following 1998 nuclear tests by India and U.S.'s imposition of sanctions.
- \n
- The various rounds of talks during 1998-2000 were the most intense dialogue between the two countries.
- \n
- It helped change perceptions leading to the gradual lifting of sanctions.
- \n
- Later, India-U.S. bilateral civil nuclear cooperation agreement was concluded in 2008.
- \n
- The defence dialogue began in 1995 with the setting up of the Defence Policy Group.
- \n
- This was to develop exchanges between the defence services of the two countries.
- \n
- A decade later, this was formalised and enlarged into the India-U.S. Defence Framework Agreement.
- \n
- The agreement was renewed for 10 years in 2015.
- \n
- Today, the U.S. is the country with which India undertakes the largest number of military exercises.
- \n
- During the Cold War, more than three-fourths of India's defence equipment was of Soviet origin.
- \n
- This has gradually begun to change in recent years, with U.S. and Israel emerging as major suppliers.
- \n
- The recent periods saw an emphasis on technology sharing and joint development.
- \n
- This has led to the Defence Technology and Trade Initiative (DTII).
- \n
- Many pathfinder projects have been identified under this banner.
- \n
- Efforts at relaxing the export control licensing and other bureaucratic hurdles were taken.

- \n
- In 2016, India was designated as a 'Major Defence Partner' country.
- \n
- Another step forward is the inclusion of India in the [Strategic Trade Authorisation-1](#) (STA-1) category.
- \n
- This should enable the DTII to graduate to more ambitious projects.
- \n

\n\n

What are the obligations?

\n\n

- \n
- Acquiring U.S. high technology comes with its own set of obligations for ensuring its security.
- \n
- These take the form of various undertakings often described as foundational agreements.
- \n
- The first of these was GSOMIA (General Security of Military Information Agreement) which India signed in 2002.
- \n
- The other three related to
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- i. logistics support
- \n
- ii. communications compatibility and security
- \n
- iii. exchanges of geospatial information
- \n

\n\n

- \n
- The U.S. proposed its standard logistics support agreement text in 2003.
- \n
- It was finally concluded in 2016, and was made into an India-specific text.
- \n
- It facilitates logistics supplies during port visits and joint exercises.
- \n
- Now, the India-specific Communications Compatibility and Security Agreement (COMCASA) is likely to be signed.

- It makes it possible to install high-end secure communication equipment on U.S. platforms that India has been acquiring.
- With the possibility of acquiring armed Sea Guardian drones, COMCASA was necessary to ensure optimal use.
- The lessons learnt should help in expediting negotiations on the third (exchanges of geospatial information).

\n\n

What lies ahead?

\n\n

- There are certain issues to be addressed and the 2+2 talks offer an opportunity for this.
- **CAATSA** - Countering America's Adversaries Through Sanctions Act (CAATSA) was enacted last year.
- It enables the U.S. government to sanction countries engaging in 'significant transactions' with Russian military and intelligence entities.
- The proposed purchase of the S-400 missile defence system from Russia would attract CAATSA sanctions.
- A waiver provision to this has now been introduced to cover India, Indonesia and Vietnam.
- But it requires certification by the U.S. that the country concerned is gradually reducing its dependency on Russian equipment and cooperating with the U.S. on critical security issues.
- The Indian concerns in regards with this need to be addressed.
- **Chabahar** - Iranian crude imports have grown significantly in recent years.
- India also stepped up its involvement in developing the Chabahar port.
- The port provides connectivity to Afghanistan and Central Asia.
- But the U.S. imposition of sanctions on Iran after its unilateral [withdrawal](#)

from the nuclear deal poses hurdles.

\n

- Given these, a creative thinking will be needed in the 2+2 dialogue to overcome the challenges.

\n

- Both countries will have to nurture the habit of talking and working together.

\n

- This is essential to realise the Joint Strategic Vision for the Asia-Pacific and Indian Ocean Region (2015).

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu

\n

