

Indian Nuclear Doctrine & Pakistan's Nuke

What is the nuclear doctrine of India?

\n\n

\n

- India's nuclear strategy builds on the principle of limitation, despite being a non-signatory to the Nuclear Non-Proliferation Treaty (NPT).

\n

- Its policies have been greatly consistent with the key provisions of NPT that apply to nuclear-weapon states.

\n

- India has declared nuclear doctrine of 2003, which stands by principles such as credible minimum deterrence, No-First-Use (NFU), non-use of nuclear weapons against non-nuclear weapon states, remains a fundamental document till date.

\n

- The Indian government has not shown any indication that it is attempting to deviate from these declared norms.

\n

- India's record when it comes to observable and measurable benchmarks of responsible nuclear behaviour is a largely positive one.

\n

\n\n

What is the status of Pakistan nuclear weapon?

\n\n

\n

- Pakistan continues to expand the size of its nuclear arsenal, including with the Nasr platform.

\n

- This expansion will take place notwithstanding India's policy or posture.

\n

- Pakistan's aggressive military strategy combined with an expanding nuclear weapons arsenal should be a matter of deep concern for the whole world, not merely for India.

\n

- Pakistan has refused to adopt the NFU policy, and takes undue advantage of

its nuclear shield to support and sponsor terrorist attacks in India without any fear of retaliation.

\n

- There is a deep-rooted Sino-Pak nuclear axis in building nuclear delivery capabilities, often violating the Missile Technology Control Regime (MTCR).
- Pakistan's medium range ballistic missiles Shaheen I and II also closely correspond to China's 'M' series of ballistic missiles.

\n

\n\n

Is there any shift in India's doctrine?

\n\n

\n

- There are series of debate in Western circles about India's growing favouritism for an offensive nuclear posture.
- This supposed shift in India's position is often interpreted as a response to Pakistan's acquisition of tactical nuclear weapons or even to India's inability to deter Pakistan from employing cross-border terrorism.
- The proactive approach of India makes a strong case for continuing the minimum deterrence posture.

\n

\n\n

\n

- As of now there is no official indication that India intends to keep its nuclear forces at a 'higher readiness level'.

\n

\n\n

What are the issues with India's nuclear doctrine?

\n\n

\n

- Analysts claiming such a shift in India's nuclear posture warn about the consequent heightening of nuclear risks.
- Researches feel that more holistic view of the concept of minimum deterrence is required that categorically specifies India's approach.
- India's commitment to the principles of restraint and responsibility in its

defence practices remains inadequate.

\n

- India's shift towards a proactive and offensive nuclear posture on rather obscure premises and mistaken assumptions.

\n

- Development of offensive conventional concepts for India's conventional preparedness, is rather inappropriate and misleading.

\n

\n\n

Why India cannot be soft cornered?

\n\n

\n

- Each country has the right to retaliate when a war is waged against it, including a proxy war.

\n

- If India takes an indulgent stand, it may fail to send the fitting signal to Pakistani aggressive posturing and terror acts.

\n

- It can undermine the logic of credible minimum deterrence.

\n

- India can take initiatives 'unilaterally' to reduce the salience of nuclear weapons, like it did by signing the Hague Code of Conduct, may be inconceivable.

\n

- India-Pakistan agreement for reducing the salience of nuclear weapons could be a non-starter considering Pakistan's policies of first use.

\n

\n\n

Way forward

\n\n

\n

- The significance of India's doctrine is as similar as the US posture, which indicates that the sole purpose of American nuclear weapons is merely to deter, not to initiate, a nuclear war.

\n

- India's security needs differ in a great deal from Pakistan's, as India has to deal with a greater security challenge from China.

\n

- Pakistan has a reactionary history of nuclear and missile development and it continues to challenge India's security through proxy wars and state-

sponsored terrorism.

\n

- The nuclear escalation risk cannot be contained by the revision of India's minimum deterrence policy, but with a change in Pakistan's behaviour.
- Regional stability is possible only if Pakistan starts to practice restraint, act responsibly, and include the principle of NFU in its nuclear doctrine.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: IDSA

\n

