

## **India's Shifting Position on Taliban**

#### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- India sent two former diplomats as "non-official" participants at the recent "Moscow format" multilateral meeting that included Taliban delegates.
- $\bullet$  Indian government-nominated representatives sharing the table with a Taliban delegation for the first time is notable. \n

 $n\$ 

#### What is Afghanistan's stance?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Afghanistan did not send delegates from its foreign ministry, but from the Afghan High Peace Council (HPC).

۱n

• They were joined by the Ambassador to Russia.

• The HPC is a government-appointed forum tasked with the peace and reconciliation process.

۱n

• India is understood to have consulted Kabul about the level of its participation.

n

• The Indian representatives did not make a statement at the meeting.

 $n\n$ 

# What was India's traditional position?

 $n\$ 

۱n

• India was among the countries that had refused to recognise the Taliban regime of 1996-2001.

\n

 $\bullet$  India watched Taliban's growth with concern, assessing early that it was being driven by Pakistan's army and the ISI.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$ 

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Kandahar hijack of Indian Airlines flight IC-814 forced India to negotiate.

\n

- At other times, it supported anti-Taliban forces in Afghanistan.
- Throughout the 1990s, India gave military and financial assistance to the Northern Alliance fighting the Pakistan-sponsored Taliban regime in Afghanistan.

\n

• Meanwhile the 9/11 attacks and the US crackdown leading to the fall of the Taliban regime took place.

۱n

 $\bullet$  When the Taliban re-emerged in 2006-07 to once again challenge US forces, India maintained it was not going to talk with the Taliban. \n

 $n\$ 

### What was the further development?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Taliban grew in strength, and the US decided to withdraw troops by 2009.

۱'n

• So the Afghan government reached out to the Taliban with a peace and reconciliation process.

\n

• In the International Conference on Afghanistan in London in 2010, India made a quiet shift.

\n

- It said it is for the elected Afghanistan government to draw the "red lines" the terms for negotiating with the Taliban.
- [The red lines are the rights of the people, especially women's rights, the right to education, the democratic process all of which are enshrined in constitution.

\n

• The "red lines" had defined the Kabul-Taliban negotiations and these will not be compromised during the talks.]

۱n

• The Afghan government had stated that the Taliban must accept the Afghan Constitution.

\n

• It called for it to renounce violence and sever all ties with al-Qaeda and other terrorist organisations.

\n

\n

• This was endorsed during the International Conference. That was the first diplomatic opening.

 $\bullet$  Although India would not directly talk to Taliban, this shift meant it was approving the outreach if the Taliban adhered to these red lines. \n

 $n\$ 

#### What is the significance of the recent move?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Over the last couple of years, the US, China and Russia brokered reconciliation and peace talks between the Afghan government and the Taliban.

۱'n

- $\bullet$  It just insisted that the peace process be "Afghan-led" and "Afghan-owned".  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- But it is felt that the current efforts as the Moscow format meeting are not being "Afghan-led"; Russians or the Americans are taking the lead.
- India's participation, however, is crucial, even though it is at a non-official level.

\n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

## **Source: Indian Express**

\n

