

INO Project

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- In March 2017, National Green Tribunal (NGT) suspended the environmental clearance (EC) granted to the India-based Neutrino Observatory (INO), and ordered it to file a fresh application for clearance.
- This had made India to suffer a procedural delay to join the elite club of countries undertaking neutrino research.

 $n\n$

What is the INO project?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet Neutrinos are tiny particles, almost massless, that travel at near light speeds. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- They are born from violent astrophysical events like exploding stars, nuclear fusion in the sun and gamma ray bursts.
- \bullet They are abundant in the universe, and can move easily through matter. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- They are very difficult to track down.
- The proposed INO project primarily aims to study atmospheric neutrinos in a 1,300-m deep cavern in the Bodi West Hills in Theni district, Tamil Nadu.
- If completed, it would house the largest magnet in the world.
- Neutrinos hold the blueprint of nature, which the INO project aims to use to understand some of the unsolved mysteries of the universe.

 $n\$

What are the concerns?

\n

• The explosives used in construction are considered a threat to the highly sensitive ecology of the Western Ghats.

\n

- But the excavation is planned to be carried out by a controlled blast, limiting the impact of vibrations with the help of computer simulations.
- There are questions about the relevant radiation safety studies for carrying out the long baseline neutrino experiment.
- But an underground lab accessed by a 2 km-long horizontal access tunnel, resembling a road tunnel is to be constructed.
- Such tunnels have been built extensively in India and the relevant studies show that the environmental impacts have been managed.
- In the second phase, a far detector for the Neutrino Factory has been initially planned.

۱'n

- It is a proposed particle accelerator.
- This may not be necessary because of the discoveries already being made in the field.

\n

• Even if you build it, the radiation from the neutrino beam would be one in 100 millionth of the natural radiation, which is negligible.

 $n\$

What should be done?

 $n\n$

\n

- Allegations such as neutrinos being radioactive particles and that the INO will double up the storage of nuclear waste do not hold ground.
- Such assumptions and procedural lapses have pushed this project into a limbo.

\n

- Any further delays could defeat the purpose of the project because similar projects elsewhere could undermine India's efforts.
- We should generate sufficient public support for such high technology and

science projects.

۱n

 \bullet The communication between the scientific community and the public should be more basic and democratic. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

