

Institution Building for Achieving SDGs

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- UN's "Sustainable Development Goals" (SDGs) has inspired many and there seems to be a global consensus on them beyond governments.

\n

- The efforts of various stakeholders need to be effectively coordinated for achieving better outcomes.

\n

\n\n

Why do we need large scale coordination?

\n\n

\n

- SDGs have been observed to prominently figure in "business conferences, civil society organizations and government reports".

\n

- The 17 SDGs fall into four groupings namely:\n

\n

- **Human Development** - "Poverty, hunger, health, education, and gender equality".

\n

- **Environment** - "Water, energy, climate action, life below water, and life on land".

\n

- **Economy** - "Decent work, economic progress, reduced inequalities, responsible consumption and production, and industry innovation".

\n

- **Structure Building** - "peace, justice and strong institutions" and "partnerships for growth".

\n

\n

\n

- Problems such as persistent poverty and inequality, poor health, and environmental degradation are systemic issues.

\n

- They have multiple interacting causes and can't be solved by any one actor.
\n
- Hence, strong institutional partnership and cooperation as outlined in the last two SDGs are essential.
\n

\n\n

What are the current management challenges?

\n\n

- \n
- Philanthropists and corporates (through CSR) are giving back to society in programmes aligned with the SDGs.
\n
- Once they start, they realize the need for partnering NGOs who have local knowledge and have established community and government relationships.
\n
- While all stakeholders recognize the need for effective partnerships, effective management practices remain elusive.
\n
- But governments and CSR initiatives tend to construct centralized, top-down programmes to achieve scale and efficiency simultaneously,
\n
- Hence, there is inherent strain in deploying best management practices and the typical "command and control" dynamics continue to dominate.
\n
- This approach works with a "one size fits all" mindset and also hinders effective ground coordination due to the complicated command structure.
\n
- Also, the ultimate beneficiaries aren't adequately represented in this model and "people-centric design" takes a backseat.
\n

\n\n

How important is institution building in human progress?

\n\n

- \n
- SDGs are a realization that humanity cannot postpone much longer the development of new strategies for the management of the commons.
\n
- Humanity's progress is a history of evolution of institutions that have enabled societies to achieve what they want.
\n

- Notably, the demand for increased sea trade saw the birth of new forms of business institutions such as the limited liability company in the 17th century.
\n
- Also, the idea of an equal society gave rise to elected parliaments world-over.
\n
- Hence, faster progress towards the SDGs will require new models of enterprises in which the people must have a much greater say in governance.
\n

\n\n

What kind of institutional changes are needed?

\n\n

- The very conception of SDGs requires institutions that enable large-scale people participation, which is in line with the democratic norms.
\n
- According to SDGs, every individual has fundamental needs such as health and education, and political rights in the governance.
\n
- But expenses are incurred for ensuring that all get access to these services.
\n
- Hence, this calls for building economically sustainable business modules like Co-operatives and Social Entrepreneurship.
\n

\n\n

Source: Livemint

\n

