

## **Interstate water disputes bill**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

Recently Union government introduced Inter-State River Water Disputes (Amendment) Bill 2017.

\n\n

### **What is interstate river water disputes act?**

\n\n

\n

- The Interstate River Water Disputes Act, 1956 (IRWD Act) is an Act of the Parliament of India enacted under Article 262.

\n

- IRWD Act is applicable only to interstate rivers / river valleys, the Supreme Court and other courts do not have jurisdiction over such disputes but they can interpret verdicts of tribunals.

\n

- Whenever the riparian states are not able to reach amicable agreements on their own in sharing of an interstate river waters, section 4 of IRWD Act provides dispute resolution process in the form of Tribunal.

\n

- The tribunal responsibility is not limited to adjudication of issues raised by the concerned states and also investigation of other aspects which are in public domain.

\n

- When the tribunal final verdict issued based on the deliberations on the draft verdict is accepted by the central government and notified in the official gazette.

\n

- The verdict becomes law and binding on the states for implementation.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the provisions included in the amendment?**

\n\n

\n

- **Permanent body** - The bill proposes a permanent Inter-State River Water Disputes Tribunal (ISRWDT).

\n

- In the current arrangement, tribunals are formed when a river water dispute arises.

\n

- The ISRWDT will be an eight-member body comprising serving Supreme Court and high court judges.

\n

- The members will retire when they are 70, there was no such limit earlier.

\n

- **Time bound** - The entire process is restricted to five-and-half years, taking into account all extensions, there is almost no limit on extensions in the current arrangement.

\n

- **Specialized committee** - The bill provides for a DRC (Disputes Resolution Committee) to enable negotiated settlements.

\n

- This is an interesting provision, evidently to avoid disputes advancing to the next stage of legal adjudication.

\n

- The bill says the Centre will set up the DRC with “members from such relevant fields, as it deems fit, for resolving the disputes amicably”.

\n

- **Data repository** - The other much touted provision for a data bank and information system.

\n

- There is a similar provision in the current act as well, but it mandates the Centre to create such a repository.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the shortcoming of the bill?**

\n\n

\n

- There is no clear mentioned provisions about speedy resolution of disputes.

\n

- The bill doesn't fully recognise the need to plug holes in the interstate river water sharing, development and governance.

- The benefits of the amendments will depend on the mechanism's efficiency.
- In any case of data bank, the challenge is not about gathering data and information, but more about states agreeing over a particular piece of data.
- There are challenges in implementing the tribunal's awards.
- The ad-hoc mechanisms devised outside this law have not been successful.

### Quick fact

### Ongoing Interstate water disputes

- **Sutlej-Yamuna Link canal Dispute** - States involved are Punjab & Haryana
- **Cauvery River Dispute** - States involved are Karnataka & Tamilnadu
- **Krishna River Dispute** - States involved are Maharashtra, Andhra Pradesh, Telangana and Karnataka
- **Godavari River Dispute** - States involved are Andhra Pradesh, Madhya Pradesh, Chhattisgarh, Orissa and Karnataka.
- **Mullaiperiyar River Dispute** - States involved are Kerala and Tamilnadu
- **Mahadayi (or) Mondovi River dispute** - States involved are Karnataka, Goa & Maharashtra
- **Barak River Dispute** - States involved are Manipur & Assam

### Ongoing water disputes with neighbouring countries:

\n\n

\n

- **India-China Zangmu dam issue** on Brahmaputra river

\n

- **India-Pakistan** on Indus river (Sutlej tributary)

\n

- **India-Bangladesh** on Teesta river (originates in zemu glacier, Sikkim)

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express**

\n

