

## Issues in Altruistic Surrogacy Arrangement

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- Lok Sabha has approved the Surrogacy (Regulation) Bill. Click [here](#) to know more.

\n

- The contracts a 'close relative' as a surrogate by a heterosexual married couple who have been childless.

\n

\n\n

### What is Surrogacy (Regulation) bill?

\n\n

\n

- Surrogacy is the practice where a woman agrees to give birth to a baby (usually by artificial insemination or surgical implantation) on behalf of another couple.

\n

- Surrogacy (Regulation) Bill seeks to put an end to commercial surrogacy - payment to a surrogate mother is punishable by up to 5 years imprisonment.

\n

- The Bill allows only altruistic surrogacy (by relatives) for married couples.

\n

- It allows surrogacy to intending infertile Indian married couples between the ages of 23-50 (female) and 26-55 (male).

\n

- It limits the option to only legally married childless couples who have been trying for a child for at least 5 years.

\n

- The commissioning couple cannot have a surviving child, either biological or adopted.

\n

- But this is except when they have a child who is mentally or physically challenged or suffers from a life-threatening disorder with no permanent cure.

\n

- The Bill also has safeguards built in against sex selection of the baby and also requires all surrogacy clinics to be registered.  
\n
- Clinics can charge for these services but the surrogate mother cannot be paid.  
\n
- The national and state surrogacy boards will be the regulating authorities.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the significance of altruistic surrogacy across globe?**

\n\n

- \n
- In the U.K., laws on surrogacy allow only altruistic arrangements where the surrogate can be paid only 'reasonable expenses'.  
\n
- The fluidity in defining reasonable expenses means that this should ideally include payment for medical treatment, and in-vitro fertilization (IVF) but may include other 'expenses'.  
\n
- In most of Australia, altruistic surrogacy entails restricted — in different parts of the world, varying levels of legal restrictions, or complete bans are practiced — pre-approved payments to the surrogate, including for diet during the pregnancy, and/or for the medical treatment.  
\n
- However, altruism also entails the provision that the surrogate is the legal mother of the child, which can be transferred to the parents through a legal process, including adoption.  
\n
- In many countries in Europe, the act of gestation defines motherhood, even though the egg used for the pregnancy through IVF may belong to the couple entering the arrangement.  
\n

\n\n

### **What is the emphasis of altruistic surrogacy in India?**

\n\n

- \n
- As per the new Surrogacy Bill, the surrogate in India continues to fulfil her role as a gestate.  
\n

- In keeping with the insistence on gestational surrogacy, which makes the use of IVF and other assisted reproductive technologies mandatory.  
\n
- The current Bill is faithful to the Indian Council of Medical Research's Draft Assisted Reproductive Technology (Regulation) Bill, 2010.  
\n
- The latter has governed the practice of surrogacy till the Surrogacy Bill of 2016 banning commercial surrogacy comes into effect.  
\n
- Motherhood did not belong to the surrogate; she was trained to think of herself as a gestate, and the relinquishment of the child was an absolutely essential clause within the draft bills on commercial surrogacy, and in practice in the surrogacy contract.  
\n
- The commercial surrogacy arrangement in India was an exchange of money for services: and yet, clinics and surrogacy agents went to great lengths to transform the commercial element of the surrogacy arrangement, primarily identified as the surrogate's fees, into gift-giving, and sacrifice. That motherhood could be for sale is a matter of distress and shock.  
\n

\n\n

### **What are the issues with the bill?**

\n\n

- In India altruistic surrogacy is not very different from its opposite commercial variant.  
\n
- Unlike the U.K., altruism in India is being defined through the tie of kinship, not through the exchange of payment for 'services rendered'.  
\n
- Here, kinship and family hide the commercial element entailed in seeking a surrogate from among close relatives.  
\n
- Thus, much of the criticism against the Surrogacy Bill in Parliament points toward the lack of definition that the category of the 'close relative' carries.  
\n
- But unlike the Surrogacy Bill, the Transplant of Human Organs Act identifies 'near relatives' as 'spouse, son, daughter, father, mother, brother or sister'.  
\n
- It's a closed group of relatives within the structure of the nuclear family unit members who may not be eligible to be surrogates, unfortunately.  
\n

- By banning commercial surrogacy in favor of its altruistic avatar, the identification of 'close relatives' will take on a cloudy turn.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the challenges in taking up altruistic surrogacy?**

\n\n

\n

- In India just like in the case of organ donation, wherein 'strangers' were dressed up as 'near relatives', in altruistic surrogacy too, similar negotiations may be entered into.
- In an overtly patriarchal society, women are always at the receiving end of ostracism and exploitation.
- In facilitating altruistic surrogacy among close kin, government has to be wary of the kind of exploitation which is fostering.
- Despite exempting gay couples, single men and women, and live-in couples from seeking surrogacy, the bill fails to define the regulative mechanisms within altruistic surrogacy.
- With very regressive approval for couples with differently-abled children to opt for surrogacy, the Bill does seek certain important changes.
- The popularity of IVF and other assisted reproductive technologies stems from a problematic conceptualization of infertility itself, pushing couples to opt for invasive intervention within a year of unprotected coitus.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

