

### **Issues with Data Protection Bill**

### What is the issue?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The draft personal data protection Bill 2018 was recently submitted by the Justice B.N. Srikrishna-headed expert panel. Click <a href="here">here</a> to know more.
- The Right to be forgotten in the proposed Data Protection Bill poses a threat to press freedom and RTI.

 $n\n$ 

## What is the right to be forgotten?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The right allows for the **lawful removal of personal information** of an individual if such request is made.
- It can determine the development of one's life in an autonomous way, without being perpetually or periodically stigmatised as a consequence of a specific action performed in the past.
- It is distinct from the right to privacy because the right to privacy constitutes information that is not publicly known.
- Whereas, right to be forgotten involves removing information that was publicly known at a certain time and not allowing third parties to access the information.

'

 $n\n$ 

# What are the provisions in the bill in this regard?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Once the consent to use data has been withdrawn by the data principal,

he/she has a right to prevent the data processor or data fiduciary from using such data or information.

\n

 $\bullet$  An adjudicating officer can decide on the question of disclosure based on:  $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$ 

 $n\n$ 

\n

1. sensitivity of the personal data

2. scale of disclosure and the degree of accessibility sought to be restricted or prevented

۱n

3. role of the data principal in public life n

4. relevance of the personal data to the public

5. nature of the disclosure and of the activities of the data fiduciary  $\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The order of the adjudicating officer can be filed for a review.

• An appeal can be made to the appellate tribunal if the same decision is reaffirmed.

\n

• The right to be forgotten can be exempted \n

 $n\n$ 

\n

\n

i. for the purpose of data processing in the interest of security of the state [Section 42]

ii. in the interests of prevention, detection, investigation and prosecution of any offence or any other contravention of law [Section 43]

iii. for processing of personal data for a journalistic purpose [Section 47]  $\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

\n

• Accordingly, Parliament should pass a law explaining the procedures to determine the interests and to create another authority to decide the

disclosure.

۱n

- $\bullet$  Nevertheless, Section 4 (right to privacy) and Section 31 (fair and reasonable processing duties) will be applied to have a fair procedure. \n
- Failure to adhere to security standards might lead to a penalty of up to Rs 5 crore.

\n

 $\bullet$  A penalty of up to Rs 1 crore can be imposed where any person fails to comply with any provision of this Act.  $\$ 

 $n\n$ 

## What are the related judicial pronouncements?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The Karnataka High Court had upheld a woman's 'right to be forgotten' in a plea to annul the marriage certificate, after a person got a certificate of marriage with her name who never married him.

۱n

- Also, a rape victim in India has a right that her past be forgotten.
- At the same time, the Gujarat High Court ruled that a criminal cannot claim the right to be forgotten.

\n

• He/she does not have the right to insist that the conviction should not be referred to by the media.

\n

 $n\n$ 

#### What are the concerns?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  The right to be forgotten might spell a danger to press freedom.  $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$
- Though section 47 provides for journalistic exemptions, it applies only where the journalist demonstrates that the processing is in compliance with any code of ethics issued by -

\n

 $n\n$ 

1. the Press Council of India (or)

2. any media self-regulatory organisation

 $n\n$ 

\n

• These will create additional pre-publication restrictions on citizens and the media, as journalistic publication will be dependent upon the opinion of an adjudicating officer.

\n

- Besides, freedom to criticise the public personalities for their public policies based on their past statements and activities will be in jeopardy.
- Also, both CIC (Central Information Commission) and DPA (Data Protection Authority) deals with information disclosure.
- So a citizen seeking access to such information will be confused on whom to approach.

\n

- Besides, Article 19(2) of the Indian Constitution did not provide "privacy" as a ground for imposing restrictions.
- So the Bill's provision on the right to be forgotten would fail on constitutional verification by the judiciary.
- $\bullet$  It is thus essential that "privacy" is added in Article 19(2) by a major amendment to the Constitution, to materialise the right to be forgotten. \n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: The Indian Express** 

\n

