

Issues with Ultra mega power projects

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Gujarat government has recently asked its power distribution companies (discoms) to approach the Central Electricity Regulatory Commission (CERC) to get approval for a tariff hike.

 $n\n$

What is the background of the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

- The central government framed a mega power policy for developing coalbased power projects, with a capacity of 1000 MW and more, in 1995.
- Under that, it decided to set up ultra mega power projects (UMPPs) and has invited bids for setting up coastal UMPPs.
- The UMPP's are run by the Tata group, the Adani group and the Essar group in Gujarat.

\n

- \bullet However, they can run <u>only on imported coal</u> and have a combined generation capacity of around 10,000 megawatts. \n
- They sign power purchase agreements with distribution companies of various state governments and their projects run on coal <u>from Indonesia</u>.

 $n\n$

Where does the problem arise?

 $n\$

\n

 \bullet In 2010, Indonesia issued regulations that changed the coal mining and pricing framework. $\mbox{\sc h}$

• This had severe financial ramifications for Indian power plants that were

designed to sustain on affordable Indonesian coal.

- \bullet Imported coal-based power plants across India face financial ramifications but cannot raise tariff without approval of CERC. \n
- Also, the Supreme Court ruled that change in Indonesian pricing regime cannot be construed as a change in law.
- \bullet Hence, CERC $\underline{refuses}\ \underline{relief}$ to Adani group and Tata group on basis of "change of law" in Indonesia.
- This has made the three plants unable to pass the uncontrollable increase in the fuel prices on the procurers (discoms) under the Power purchase agreements.
- Lenders of these projects stated that the net worth is already wiped out for these projects, and these projects are surviving on additional fund infusion by promoter groups.
- \bullet There is also a likelihood of further erosion in the credit worthiness of the generators resulting in the creation of non-performing assets. \n
- Also a tariff hike would affect consumers of various states, including Maharashtra, Rajasthan, Punjab, Gujarat and Haryana.
- Each of these states has signed a power purchase agreement (PPA) with the three power plants that run on imported coal.
- \bullet Thus, a high-powered committee (HPC) was set up this year by the Gujarat government acknowledging the issue. $\mbox{\ \ }$

 $n\$

What are the recommendations of HPC?

 $n\n$

\n

- The three projects should be permitted to pass on the impact of the high fuel costs equitably to consumers, lenders and other stakeholders.
- Banks would have to take a haircut of more than Rs 10,000 crore.
- The lenders could reduce the interest rate also on the debt of these three projects.

\n

• The HPC recommends <u>partial pass-through</u> of high coal prices to consumers, along with a commercial restructuring plan for these plants. \n

 $n\$

What is the present status?

 $n\n$

\n

• Supreme Court says its previous order won't come in the way of measures suggested by HPC.

\n

• Currently, the state government has focused its attention on pass-through of only the fuel cost.

\n

 \bullet Since only the CERC can approve an increase in power tariffs, the government has asked its discoms to get this approval. $\mbox{\sc h}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Indian Express

\n

