

Joint Owning of Spectrum

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Chairman of Bharti Enterprises has made a case for **shared active infrastructure**, including spectrum, to restore the telecom sector's profitability and credibility.

 $n\n$

What is the idea and why it is necessary?

 $n\n$

\n

- At present, each operator builds its own network. If there is a common network, the capital expenditure will come down sharply.
- In the proposed scheme of things, spectrum could be jointly owned by 'a network operating company' from which all service operators can take it on lease.

\n

- This will transform the telecom operators into purely marketing companies and strengthen their balance sheets.
- This will also lead to **increased efficiency** in usage of the scarce natural resource.

\n

• This idea makes sense, since, the profits of telcos are at an unprecedented low on account of the high price of spectrum and the tariff war between them.

\n

 Also, recently Indian telecom stocks and its overseas interests have taken a huge fall indicating there is no sign of any improvement in the business scenario in near future.

\n

• The case was made for turning the entire country into one telecom circle also.

\n

 \bullet Currently, country is divided into 22 circles. And for each circle, an operators needs a separate team. Thus, one circle means lower costs. \n

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\$

\n

- The transformative impact of telecom is well known to all, and yet the sector is faced with bleak prospects.
- \bullet So, the govt needs to consider all the above suggestions.
- The sub-sea cables are all owned by consortiums of operators.

 There is sharing of telecom towers as well.
- \bullet Thus, pooling of passive infrastructure has already happened in telecom. $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$
- \bullet But, the need of the hour is to extend this idea to the active infrastructure: Spectrum, base stations, fibre optic etc., $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Business Standard

\n

