

## **Karnataka Private Medical Establishments (Amendment) Bill**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The private health sector in Karnataka is protesting against the state government proposed KPME (Amendment) Bill 2017.

\n\n

### **What is the bill about?**

\n\n

\n

- The Karnataka Private Medical Establishments Act was passed in 2007.

\n

- The legislation aimed at being a legal control over private medical establishments (PMEs) in the state.

\n

- The Karnataka Private Medical Establishments (Amendment) Bill 2017 intends to bring the PME's under the purview of the government.

\n

- The proposed amendments are based on the recommendations of former Supreme Court judge Vikramajit Sen.

\n

- Passing the bill would facilitate the rolling out of the State government's Universal Health Coverage (UHC) scheme called 'Arogya Bhagya'.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the proposed amendments?**

\n\n

\n

- Primarily, the Bill makes the registration of PME's mandatory and lays down guidelines to ensure their quality.

\n

- The main amendments include increasing the fine for running a non-

registered private medical establishment.

\n

- Similarly, the fine and term of imprisonment for non-adherence to the rules regarding maintenance of clinical records and payments has been increased.
- The amendments make it mandatory to provide life saving emergency measures without insisting on advance payment as in the current practice.
- And in the event of death, the body of the deceased should be released immediately without insisting on payment of dues.
- Every PME should display prominently the Patient's Charter and Private Medical Establishment's Charter.
- The amendments will pave the way for the government to fix the rates for each class of treatment, and also provide grievance redressal systems.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the concerns?**

\n\n

\n

- The amendments have been met with fierce criticism from the private doctors' associations.
- The private health sector finds contentious the provisions like price capping of various procedures, imprisonment of doctors and setting up of a grievance redressal cell.
- There are demands for making the provisions applicable to the government hospitals as well.
- The protests call for enhancing the standards of health care at government hospitals before regulating private medical establishments.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the way forward?**

\n\n

\n

- The amendment law for governing private medical establishments is a logical step to provide universal health coverage in the State.

\n

- However, there is a need to ensure parity in services offered by government and private institutions and end the neglect of public facilities especially in rural areas.

\n

- Beyond regulation of prices for some drugs, streamlining the processes for centralised procurement and free distribution of essential medicines to all is essential.

\n

- All health institutions participating in a universal access programme should be governed by common regulations and regulators to eliminate fragmentation of functions.

\n

- The country as a whole should try bringing in such measures to make a transition to universal health access in line with the Sustainable Development Goal.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n

