

Kerala Conversion Case - II

What is the issue?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Supreme Court recently revised its earlier directive in a case involving religious conversion & consensual marriage of a Hindu woman.

 $n\n$

What does the current move signify?

 $n\n$

\n

- The apex court has now quashed its earlier directive that called for a probe by the National Investigation Agency into the issue.
- It is also examining the legality of the Kerala high court's pronouncement on the issue that annulled the marriage under powers provided in Article 226.

 $n\n$

Why were initial pronouncements troublesome?

 $n\n$

\n

• The Kerala High Court's decision was extremely troubling as it tended to deny autonomy to the women in her choice to convert and her decision to marry.

\n

• The court even seemed to suggest that the woman was acting on under some sinister influence.

\n

- \bullet It also speculated on terrorist indoctrination of the couple even when the police couldn't discover any. $\mbox{\sc h}$
- Following Kerala HC court's verdict, the woman was put under virtual house arrest by her parents.

\n

- Her opinion wasn't even sought in subsequent hearings.
- \bullet These directive therefore failed to upholding the rights of the individual over the diktats of the state, community and family. \n

 $n\n$

What lies ahead?

 $n\n$

\n

- The SC's decision to revisit the case is an acknowledgement of the seriousness of the isse and an openness for course correction.
- \bullet It is hoped that the rights of the woman will be restored in the subsequent hearings. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

