

Land Distribution in South Africa

What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

- South Africa's land redistribution issue has gained focus with a recent tweet of the U.S. President.

\n

- It is imperative at this juncture to understand this crucial issue which is worsening South Africa's inequality.

\n

\n\n

What is the recent happening?

\n\n

\n

- U.S. President recently contended that white farmers are being killed on a large scale in South Africa, and farms and lands are being expropriated.

\n

- This has led to renewed racial tensions within and outside South Africa.

\n

- In response, South African President Cyril Ramaphosa made it clear that South Africa was a profoundly unequal society.

\n

- He pledged to address this inequality resulting from land dispossession during the colonial and Apartheid eras.

\n

\n\n

What is the land redistribution issue?

\n\n

\n

- The inequality of land distribution in South Africa is rooted in the colonial 1913 Natives Land Act.

\n

- The Act reserved almost 93% of the land for the white minority in South

Africa.

\n

- It thus legalized the historical dispossession of the African population.

\n

- The 1936 Native Trust and Land Act slightly decreased that share to 87%.

\n

- Nevertheless, the vast inequality of land ownership persists even today.

\n

\n\n

What after democracy?

\n\n

\n

- The constitution adopted in 1994 made possible the transition from apartheid to democracy.

\n

- It was specified that land should be restituted to those dispossessed during the colonial and Apartheid eras.

\n

- It stipulates that there should be just and equitable compensation for expropriated land.

\n

- This inspired the “willing-seller, willing-buyer” land redistribution policy of previous governments.

\n

- Under such a market-based approach, the government would purchase and redistribute land to the dispossessed people.

\n

- However, progress has been slow for many reasons.

\n

- These include property owners' refusal to sell, exorbitant prices, and inadequate dispute resolution mechanisms.

\n

- The slow pace of the implementation led to doubts on the effectiveness and constitutionality of the policy.

\n

\n\n

What is the current scenario?

\n\n

\n

- **Growth** - The annual growth rate of the country is poor and unemployment

hovers around 25%.

\n

- South Africa has one of the highest levels of inequality in the world.
\n
- **Land** - 72% of farms and agricultural holdings are owned by whites.
\n
- But notably the whites make up only 8.2% of the population.
\n
- Black South Africans comprise around 80% of the population, but own just 4% of the land.
\n
- Clearly, land ownership patterns remain skewed against the black majority.
\n
- But official statistics on land holdings among racial groups are contested on their details.
\n

\n\n

Why is land distribution crucial?

\n\n

\n

- The World Bank, in its recent study, noted that inequality in South Africa was exacerbated by the
\n

\n\n

\n

- i. limited titling of property
\n
- ii. limited access to finance
\n
- iii. weak property rights
\n
- iv. limited land valorization
\n
- v. lack of sustainable investment, etc
\n

\n\n

\n

- WB has rated unequal distribution and access to land as South Africa's second greatest obstacle to reducing poverty, after skill deficits.
\n
- A well-managed system of land distribution is thus crucial to redressing the

country's economic inequality.

\n

- Productive land use among the poor is inevitable to curb rising poverty levels.

\n

- It is also essential to reversing the high unemployment and inequality conditions.

\n

- The government is thus considering certain land policy reforms.

\n

\n\n

What are the recent proposals?

\n\n

\n

- The parliament has passed a bill in 2016 under the presidency of Jacob Zuma.

\n

- It was aimed at ending the “willing-buyer, willing-seller” approach to land reform.

\n

- It enabled the government to pay at adjudicator value and expropriate land for the public interest.

\n

- In 2017, a resolution to redistribute land without compensation was backed by the parliament.

\n

\n\n

\n

- The proposals target unutilised land, informal settlements, and abandoned inner-city buildings.

\n

- The implications of these changes for the mining sector could be significant.

\n

\n\n

What is the way forward?

\n\n

\n

- Trump's tweets incorrectly suggest that land distribution process is disorderly and unlawful.

\n

- But certainly, land reform in South Africa is an emotive, complex, and important issue.

\n

- The competing and conflicting interests have to be balanced for the greater good.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

Source: The Hindu, Brookings

\n



SHANKAR
IAS PARLIAMENT
Information is Empowering