

Law Commission on BCCI

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Law Commission of India has recommended some changes with regard to BCCI.

 $n\n$

What are the recommendations?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Public body** - Board of Control for Cricket in India (BCCI) should be declared a public body.

۱n

• The cricket board, as an entity, is permitted by the state to represent the country at the international stage.

۱n

• The ICC (International Cricket Council) recognises BCCI as the 'official' body representing India.

۱'n

- It exercises 'state-like' powers in the regulation of cricket.
- Given these, it should logically come under the definition of 'state.'
- RTI It should be brought under RTI Act's purview for scrutiny by any citizen.

\n

- The board and all its member cricket associations should be brought under the Right to Information law regime.
- \mathbf{NSF} The BCCI virtually acts as a National Sports Federation (NSF).
- Thus, the Ministry of Youth Affairs & Sports website should explicitly mention BCCI in the list of NSFs.
- \bullet This would automatically bring it within the purview of the RTI Act. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

What is the need?

 $n\n$

\n

• **Functions** - The BCCI is a private body that needs no financial help from the government.

\n

• The SC also ruled in 2005 that the BCCI could not be termed an instrumentality of the 'State' under Article 12.

• However, subsequent developments have increasingly implied the public character of BCCI's functioning.

\n

• **Rights** - The board's monopolistic activities, directly and indirectly, affect the fundamental rights of stakeholders.

\n

These include citizens, players, and other functionaries.

\n

• A private citizen should be able to move the highest court against the BCCI for any violation of fundamental rights.

۱n

• **Concerns** - The board is currently veiled from public scrutiny.

\n

 \bullet It enjoys an environment of opacity and non-accountability.

\n

• There are concerns of corruption and other forms of malpractices in the board.

۱n

• It affects the general public's opinion on one of the most popular sports played in India.

\n

• It has thus been felt for quite some time to make BCCI more transparent and accountable.

\n

 $n\n$

What is the way forward?

 $n\n$

۱'n

• The central government should consider seriously the recommendation of the Law Commission.

\n

• The Supreme Court's intervention led to the constitution of the Justice R.M. Lodha Committee some time back.

\n

- It recommended sweeping reforms in the board's structure and the rules governing its administration.
- Implementing these reforms at both national and State levels could impart greater transparency in BCCI's functioning.
- \bullet It could lead to an overhaul of cricket administration in India and restore faith in the board and the sport. $\ensuremath{\backslash n}$

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: The Hindu

\n

