

## Law Commission on Personal Laws

### Why in news?

\n\n

In its recent consultation paper, the Law Commission proposed certain reforms in family laws.

\n\n

\n

***Mere existence of difference does not imply discrimination, but is indicative of a robust democracy.***

\n

\n\n

### What is the Commission's stance?

\n\n

\n

- The Law Commission has rejected the case for a uniform civil code merely for the sake of uniformity.

\n

- It instead, calls for establishing a body of civil law.

\n

- This is intended to promote equality within the law governing each community.

\n

- In other words, it advocates the removal of discriminatory provisions in the law.

\n

- This would address the gender bias and other forms of existing discrimination.

\n

- These may relate to aspects such as marriage, divorce, succession and adoption in all religions.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the marriage age proposal?**

\n\n

\n

- The Law Commission has advocated making 18 the marriageable age for all communities and genders.

\n

- The age of majority and the age of voting, among other indicators of adulthood, stand at 18.

\n

- Given this, there is no rationale for differential treatment in the case of marriage age.

\n

- The present age of 21 for men merely affirms the stereotype that the wife should be younger.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the other recommendations?**

\n\n

\n

- Some of the other recommendations with regards to personal laws include:

\n

\n\n

\n

i. decriminalising adultery

\n

ii. making adultery a common ground for divorce

\n

iii. simplifying the 'no-fault' divorce procedure

\n

iv. introducing 'irretrievable breakdown' as a ground for dissolving any marriage

\n

\n\n

\n

- The panel also suggests abolition of the 30-day notice period for civil marriages.

\n

- This is to prevent its misuse by those against inter-caste and inter-religious marriages.

\n

- It also suggests division of property equally after divorce.

\n

- Besides, it recommends removal of illnesses that can be cured or controlled from possible grounds of divorce.

\n

\n\n

### **Why is it reasonable?**

\n\n

\n

- The Law Commission's advice to end discrimination in personal laws is a welcome step.

\n

- Changes have been put forward to give equal treatment to children and parents of any gender.

\n

- As per the juvenile law principle, the child's best interest is the 'paramount consideration'.

\n

- This has been taken up by the Law Commission for universal application.

\n

- The Commission's stand against the Uniform Civil Code is against the Directive Principles of State Policy.

\n

- However, in a world that increasingly emphasizes on cultural diversity, this is justifiable.

\n

- It has upheld equality, non-discrimination, avoidance of taboos and social assumptions.

\n

- These are universal principles that are applicable in equal measure within every community's set of laws.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: The Hindu**

\n



**SHANKAR**  
**IAS PARLIAMENT**  
*Information is Empowering*