

# **Lessons from Kerala Floods**

### What is the issue?

\n\n

\n

• The material loss due to the Kerala floods has been estimated at Rs. 26,000 crore.

\n

- The event highlights the shortfalls in approaching the environmental issues, and calls for a more inclusive and holistic view.  $\n$ 

\n\n

#### What are the man-made causes?

\n\n

\n

- The short-sighted attempts in building man-made capital is a major cause.  $\slashn$
- Buildings in hilly forests, wetlands and rivers encroachments, stone quarries are notable ones.

\n\n

\n

- This has ignored the degradation of natural, human and social capital.  $\space{1mm}\s$
- All these have played a significant role in exacerbating the effects of a natural event.

\n

- The immediate task in the State is relief and rehabilitation.
- But it is equally crucial to simultaneously identify the root causes of the havoc.

∖n

\n\n

### What are the larger reasons?

\n\n

∖n

• **Law** - The root causes prevail throughout the Western Ghats and, indeed, the rest of the country.

\n

• The first is the breach of laws that have been established to safeguard natural capital.

\n

• The Shah Commission inquired into the illegal mining in Goa.

\n

• It observed that mining beyond permissible limits had caused serious damage.

\n

• It has caused damage invariably to water resources, agriculture and biodiversity.

\n

- Human capital There is ignoring of serious degradation of human capital.  $\space{1mm}\space{1m$
- This is in the context of effects on health and employment due to certain projects.

∖n

- $\bullet$  E.g. there is overuse and pollution of water resources by the Coca Cola factory in the Plachimada panchayat in Palakkad district  $\n$
- This has resulted in losses to the tune of Rs. 160 crore.  $\slashn$
- Scientific knowledge and advice has been continually disregarded.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- E.g. the case of the proposed Athirappilly hydroelectric project  $\normal{\n}$
- An analysis showed that the project document had overestimated the availability of water.  $\n$
- So the likely power production in no way justified the costs of construction and running of the project.  $$\n$

\n\n

## What should be done?

\n\n

\n

- Approach - It is not advisable to continue to focus only on man-made capital.  $\space{-1mu}\space{-$ 

- There is a need to enhance the sum total of man-made, natural, human and social capital.
  - \n
- **Communities** The genuine stake of the local communities should be acknowledged.
  - \n
- The have a larger role in health of the ecosystems.
- \n
- They also have a better understanding of the working of ecological components.

\n

- The 73rd and 74th constitutional amendments must be implemented in true spirit.  $$\n$
- Local bodies at the ward, gram panchayat, and town and city levels should be empowered.

\n

- They must be allowed to prepare reports on the status of environment.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- They should also decide on how budget should be spent on the basis of these reports.

∖n

• **BMCs** - The government must set up Biodiversity Management Committees (BMC) of citizens.

∖n

- It must empower them to document the status of local ecosystems and biodiversity resources.  $\gamman$
- They must be given powers to levy collection charges for access to biodiversity.

\n

• The intellectual property relating to community knowledge should be acknowledged.

\n

• The BMCs should be given a central role in preparing environmental impact assessments.

\n

- The assessments should reflect the true state of affairs instead of being the fraudulent documents as now.  $\n$
- Forest The government must fully implement the Forest Rights Act.  $\space{1mm}\spac$
- This would empower not only tribals, but all traditional forest dwellers.

- They could control, manage and market non-timber forest produce.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- Governance The current system is of protecting natural resources through negative incentives.
- This too is in the hands of a coercive and corrupt bureaucracy.  $\nline{\nli$
- This must give way to positive incentives that can be monitored in a transparent fashion.

\n

- The Western Ghats Ecology Expert Panel (WGEEP) proposes such incentives.  $\n$
- + E.g. payment of conservation service charges  $\n$
- This could be employed in protecting biodiversity such as sacred groves, soil carbon enrichment, etc.  $\gamman{\carbon}{\lambda}$

\n\n

• **Information** - Government must stop distortion of environment and development-related information.

\n

\n

• It must begin uploading information suo moto on websites, as the Right to Information Act demands.

\n

• It must initiate building a public and transparent database on environmental parameters.

∖n

• **Ecology** - The local knowledge, on levels of ecological sensitivity in different parts, should be utilised.

\n

- This should be given importance alongside the expert committee reports.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$
- This would help in appropriate management regimes for regions of different levels of sensitivity.  $\gamman{\label{eq:constraint} \label{eq:constraint} \label{eq:constraint} \end{sensitivity}$
- **Technology** Government should begin to proactively use modern technologies in a user-friendly manner.
- The inputs from the various local bodies should be made available to all citizens.

∖n

• All these would ensure a broad-based inclusive approach to conservation and development.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

#### Source: The Hindu

