

## **Liberalised Blacklisting Norms for Defence Procurements**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

The government introduced new liberalised blacklisting policy for arms companies in its 'Guidelines of the Ministry of Defence for Penalties in Business Dealings with Entities'.

\n\n

### **Why liberalisation was necessary?**

\n\n

\n

- The blacklisting of companies in the past have heavily impacted the modernisation of our military. Some examples are -

\n

- Bofors Howitzers scandal and subsequent blacklisting led to derailment of the plans for technology transfer and indigenous manufacture. Army has not inducted a a single modern artillery gun in the last 30 years.

\n

- HDW Submarines Scandal led to the loss of the expertise gained in the construction of diesel-electric submarines and the delay in the induction of new subs.

\n

- VVIP Helicopter scandal led to the situation of President & PM without any modern/secure 3-engine choppers.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the new norms?**

\n\n

\n

- The new rules will **apply to both Indian and foreign firms** and agents or employees of companies found to be directly involved in the corrupt act

\n

- India will now be open to doing business even with a banned firm **if there is**

**no alternative available** to its weapon system or equipment in the market.

\n

- This will be allowed, on the grounds of national security, operational military readiness and export obligations.

\n

- It will be allowed after the vice-chief of the Service concerned (Army, IAF or Navy), the chief of the integrated defence staff or the additional secretary (defence production) signs a certificate to that effect and gets permission from the "competent authority" (the Defence Minister).

\n

- It also makes it clear that India **will no longer impose blanket bans for 10 years** on erring. The earlier wholesale bans, of course, often proved counter-productive by derailing military modernisation projects.

\n

- Foreign companies will **initially be suspended for six months** if the defence ministry feels that allegations of corruption against them are serious. This ban will be reviewed every six months and would in most cases not extend beyond a total period of five years.

\n

- With a **review of the suspension order** being undertaken within six months, the defence minister will decide if the subsequent period of suspension has to be extended by six months at a time.

\n

- Banning of business dealings may be ordered only on the following three grounds,\n

\n

- if a company accepts its misconduct,

\n

- the CBI files a chargesheet against it or

\n

- a court or tribunal finds it guilty.

\n

\n

\n

- This ban period **will not be less than five years** for the following grounds,\n

\n

- if there is violation of the pre-contract integrity pact,

\n

- the company is found resorting to corrupt practices,

\n

- unfair means and illegal activities during any stage to bag a contract, or

\n

- if national security considerations warrant the step.

\n

\n

- \n
- But the ban period will not exceed three years for "non-performance or under-performance" or any other ground required in "public interest".
- \n
- The company with which business dealings are suspended or banned will, however, **be allowed to take part in new tenders** for spares, upgrades and maintenance for weapon systems supplied earlier.
- \n
- The order of suspension banning of business with an entity may be extended to its allied firms **only by a specific order of the defence minister**.
- \n
- The entity with which business has been suspended or banned will not be permitted to transact contracts under a different name or division.
- \n

\n\n

\n\n

**Category: Mains | GS-III | Effects of liberalization**

\n\n

**Source: Times of India**

\n\n

Author: [Shankar IAS Academy Chennai - Best IAS Academy for UPSC Coaching](#)

\n\n

\n

