

Maharashtra's Maratha Reservation Proposal

Why in news?

 $n\n$

Maharashtra Cabinet recently ratified a decision to grant reservation to the Maratha community under a newly created, independent category.

 $n\n$

Who are the Marathas?

 $n\n$

\n

 Marathas are a Marathi-speaking, politically dominant community in Maharashtra.

\n

• Since the formation of Maharashtra state in 1960, 11 of its 18 chief ministers have been from the Maratha community.

۱n

• They make up about one-third of the population of the state.

• Historically, they have been identified as a warrior class with large landholdings.

\n

 Division of land and agrarian problems have led to a decline of prosperity among middle-class and lower-middle-class Marathas.

• Nevertheless, the community still plays an important role in the rural economy.

\n

 $n\$

What is the rationale for the recent decision?

 $n\n$

\n

• Maharashtra State Backward Class Commission (MSBCC) submitted a report on the Maratha community.

• It highlighted that the community was socially, economically and educationally backward.

۱n

• It found that nearly -

 $n\n$

\n

- $_{\rm i.}$ 37% of Marathas were living below the poverty line
- ${\it ii.}~62\%$ own small and marginal land holdings
- iii. 70% live in kutcha houses n

 $n\n$

\n

 \bullet Compared to other communities, the study found educational backwardness amongst the Maratha community after standards X and XII. \n

 $n\n$

Is the decision justified?

 $n\n$

۱n

• The SBCC's reported findings of Marathas backwardness do not go well with the available data.

\n

- As with Jats in Rajasthan and Patels in Gujarat, Marathas do enjoy a socioeconomic status closer to that of the forward classes/castes in Maharashtra.
- Besides, there is no reason to argue that Marathas face any social stigma that calls for affirmative action.

۱n

- So the reservation demand is less an acknowledgement of social backwardness from a politically powerful community.
- \bullet The relative inability to move up the economic ladder drives the sections of these dominant communities demand for reservation. \n
- The lack of adequate employment opportunities amid a sluggish agrarian economy is a case.
- So it is more a call for the accrual of welfare benefits to the less well-off

sections among the community. \n

 $n\$

What is the quantum of reservation being planned?

 $n\n$

\n

- Maratha community leaders have demanded 16% reservation but the government has however not taken a decision on that.
- A Cabinet subcommittee constituted to implement the quota will fix the quantum.

\n

- At present, the total reservation in the state is 52%, of which the larger quotas are for SC (13%), ST (7%) and OBC (19%).
- The rest being for Special Backward Class, Vimukti Jati, Nomadic Tribe (B), Nomadic Tribe (C) (Dhangar) and Nomadic Tribe (D) (Vanjari).
- The quotas given to the Nomadic Tribes and Special Backward Class, in fact, have been carved out of the total OBC quota.

 $n\n$

What are the challenges?

 $n\n$

- **Category** The Maratha Kranti Morcha, which led the reservation agitation, had initially demanded a quota under the OBC category.
- OBC groups had been expressing fears that the new quota would include Marathas within the existing OBC share.
- OBC groups have thus been threatening an agitation as Nomadic Tribes and Special Backward Class also have their quotas within the OBC share.
- **Shares** Meanwhile, the OBCs want their 19% share raised to 27%.
- \bullet The Dhangar (Shepherd) community demands that they be moved from the OBC to the ST category. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$
- Also, Muslims have intensified their demand for a 5% quota in the wake of

the Maratha reservation decision.

- **Total reservation** The Supreme Court had set the 50% cap in 1992 (Indra Sawhney vs Union of India) for reservation in states.
- Maharashtra's reservation is already beyond the Supreme Court cap of 50%.
- \bullet Given this, if the demand for 16% is met, the total would become 68%, almost matching the 69% in Tamil Nadu. \n

 $n\n$

What is the government's clarification?

 $n\n$

\n

• The government has said the Maratha quota will not affect the existing reserved sections.

\n

• It's because the plan is to introduce a new, independent quota, called Socially and Educationally Backward Class.

\n

 Also, the state argues there is no such provision for a ceiling on quota in the Constitution.

\n

• On the other hand, the Constitution provides for quota under extraordinary and exceptional cases.

\n

• This applies when a community's backwardness is documentarily established.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

• Notably, the Maharashtra government substantiated the quota based on findings of the MSBCC.

\n

• So it will conform to Constitutional norms.

\n

• But legally speaking, Tamil Nadu's 69% reservation matter is pending before the Supreme Court.

\n

 $n\n$

\n

- Therefore, a final verdict on whether the state government can exceed 50% reservation remains a question.
- Given all these, beyond reservation, the State should certainly address the prolonged agrarian distress and lack of adequate jobs.

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express, The Hindu

