

## **Maharashtra's Maratha Reservation Proposal**

### **Why in news?**

\n\n

Maharashtra Cabinet recently ratified a decision to grant reservation to the Maratha community under a newly created, independent category.

\n\n

### **Who are the Marathas?**

\n\n

\n

- Marathas are a Marathi-speaking, politically dominant community in Maharashtra.

\n

- Since the formation of Maharashtra state in 1960, 11 of its 18 chief ministers have been from the Maratha community.

\n

- They make up about one-third of the population of the state.

\n

- Historically, they have been identified as a warrior class with large land-holdings.

\n

- Division of land and agrarian problems have led to a decline of prosperity among middle-class and lower-middle-class Marathas.

\n

- Nevertheless, the community still plays an important role in the rural economy.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the rationale for the recent decision?**

\n\n

\n

- Maharashtra State Backward Class Commission (MSBCC) submitted a report on the Maratha community.

\n

- It highlighted that the community was socially, economically and educationally backward.

\n

- It found that nearly -

\n

\n\n

\n

- i. 37% of Marathas were living below the poverty line

\n

- ii. 62% own small and marginal land holdings

\n

- iii. 70% live in kutcha houses

\n

\n\n

\n

- Compared to other communities, the study found educational backwardness amongst the Maratha community after standards X and XII.

\n

\n\n

### **Is the decision justified?**

\n\n

\n

- The SBCC's reported findings of Marathas backwardness do not go well with the available data.

\n

- As with Jats in Rajasthan and Patels in Gujarat, Marathas do enjoy a socio-economic status closer to that of the forward classes/castes in Maharashtra.

\n

- Besides, there is no reason to argue that Marathas face any social stigma that calls for affirmative action.

\n

- So the reservation demand is less an acknowledgement of social backwardness from a politically powerful community.

\n

- The relative inability to move up the economic ladder drives the sections of these dominant communities demand for reservation.

\n

- The lack of adequate employment opportunities amid a sluggish agrarian economy is a case.

\n

- So it is more a call for the accrual of welfare benefits to the less well-off

sections among the community.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the quantum of reservation being planned?**

\n\n

\n

- Maratha community leaders have demanded 16% reservation but the government has however not taken a decision on that.

\n

- A Cabinet subcommittee constituted to implement the quota will fix the quantum.

\n

- At present, the total reservation in the state is 52%, of which the larger quotas are for SC (13%), ST (7%) and OBC (19%).

\n

- The rest being for Special Backward Class, Vimukti Jati, Nomadic Tribe (B), Nomadic Tribe (C) (Dhangar) and Nomadic Tribe (D) (Vanjari).

\n

- The quotas given to the Nomadic Tribes and Special Backward Class, in fact, have been carved out of the total OBC quota.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the challenges?**

\n\n

\n

- **Category** - The Maratha Kranti Morcha, which led the reservation agitation, had initially demanded a quota under the OBC category.

\n

- OBC groups had been expressing fears that the new quota would include Marathas within the existing OBC share.

\n

- OBC groups have thus been threatening an agitation as Nomadic Tribes and Special Backward Class also have their quotas within the OBC share.

\n

- **Shares** - Meanwhile, the OBCs want their 19% share raised to 27%.

\n

- The Dhangar (Shepherd) community demands that they be moved from the OBC to the ST category.

\n

- Also, Muslims have intensified their demand for a 5% quota in the wake of

the Maratha reservation decision.

\n

- **Total reservation** - The Supreme Court had set the 50% cap in 1992 (Indra Sawhney vs Union of India) for reservation in states.

\n

- Maharashtra's reservation is already beyond the Supreme Court cap of 50%.

\n

- Given this, if the demand for 16% is met, the total would become 68%, almost matching the 69% in Tamil Nadu.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the government's clarification?**

\n\n

\n

- The government has said the Maratha quota will not affect the existing reserved sections.

\n

- It's because the plan is to introduce a new, independent quota, called Socially and Educationally Backward Class.

\n

- Also, the state argues there is no such provision for a ceiling on quota in the Constitution.

\n

- On the other hand, the Constitution provides for quota under extraordinary and exceptional cases.

\n

- This applies when a community's backwardness is documentarily established.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Notably, the Maharashtra government substantiated the quota based on findings of the MSBCC.

\n

- So it will conform to Constitutional norms.

\n

- But legally speaking, Tamil Nadu's 69% reservation matter is pending before the Supreme Court.

\n

\n\n

\n

- Therefore, a final verdict on whether the state government can exceed 50% reservation remains a question.

\n

- Given all these, beyond reservation, the State should certainly address the prolonged agrarian distress and lack of adequate jobs.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Indian Express, The Hindu**

\n

