

# **Making GSTN Government-owned**

#### Why in news?

 $n\n$ 

The finance ministry considers converting the Goods and Services Tax Network (GSTN) into a government-owned company.

 $n\n$ 

#### What is the GSTN at present?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• The GSTN is a not-for-profit organisation at present.

\n

• It provides the technological support to the GST.

\n

• The government holds a 49% stake, with Centre and states share of 24.5% each.

۱n

• The balance 51% is held by five non-government institutions.

\n

• These are LIC Housing Finance, HDFC, HDFC Bank, ICICI Bank and NSE Strategic Investment Co Ltd.

 $n\$ 

### What is rationale behind the recent proposal?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- The Goods and Services Tax Network handles massive amounts of data.
- It deals with crucial data sets such as indirect tax returns and refunds.
- By now, over 10 million businesses have already registered on the GSTN portal.
- GSTN is apparently a repository of sensitive data on business entities

nationwide.

۱n

• It is of strategic importance to the country.

۱n

• The government is thus concerned about the safety and security of "sensitive" data.

۱n

 $\bullet$  It thus considers limiting the ownership to the government.  $\ensuremath{^{\backslash n}}$ 

 $n\n$ 

#### Is the logic valid?

 $n\n$ 

\n

- $\bullet$  The implicit assumption here is that data is safer with a government company than with private sector entity. \n
- This seems to be illogical as there is no evidence as yet that safety of data is in any way related to the ownership of the GSTN.
- The government and private entities do not function under different data security norms.

\n

 Moreover, government's own data security systems do not have an appreciable track record.

\n

- Evidently, several government websites have been routinely hacked.
- The controversy over leaks in Aadhaar data further undermines the confidence in government's ability to be a safe custodian of data.
- Moreover, changing the ownership will likely hurt the freedom and efficiency with which the GSTN needs to work.
- $\bullet$  This is especially significant given the fast-paced demands of businesses.  $\mbox{\ensuremath{\backslash}} n$

 $n\n$ 

## What is the way forward?

 $n\n$ 

\n

• In any case, the government already enjoys strategic control over the GSTN

due to its 49% stake.

\n

- $\bullet$  Also, as per rules, the quorum for a board meeting requires that at least half of the government-nominated directors be present.  $\mbox{\sc half}$
- Notably, most large government information technology related projects have been given to private software firms in the past.
- $\bullet$  Given all these and the fact that the GST regime is, at last, settling down, the government should only build on this stability, and not risk it. \n

 $n\n$ 

 $n\n$ 

**Source: Business Standard** 

\n

