

## **Making 'Make in India' Work**

### **What is the issue?**

\n\n

\n

- Electronics, principally mobile devices and telecom equipment, were the cornerstones of “Make in India” policy.

\n

- But the measures taken as part of this are not encouraging in their achievements, calling for more workable policy decisions.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the key measures?**

\n\n

\n

- The key objective of 'Make in India' scheme is to reduce the ever-increasing import bill on electronic items.

\n

- To this end, the government announced in 2017 an ambitious phased manufacturing programme (PMP).

\n

- This is to transform India into a major hub for domestic mobile device production and increase value addition by creating a large component vendor base.

\n

- Backing this was a punitive import duty on components that were not manufactured in India during the financial year set under the policy.

\n

- To boost “Make in India”, the government also offered preference to companies that met the mandated local content levels in public procurement contracts.

\n

\n\n

### **What are the claims in this regard?**

\n\n

\n

- **PMP** - Indian Cellular Association (ICA) has achieved the PMP target of Rs 500 billion for domestic component manufacturing a year ahead of schedule (2019-20).

\n

- Its members have manufactured over Rs 1,650 billion in terms of value of mobile devices in the country, ahead of the target of Rs 1,500 billion.

\n

- Also, over 120 manufacturers have set up units in India.

\n

- Imports as a percentage of total production has fallen to 10%.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the real scenario?**

\n\n

\n

- **PMP** - Local manufacture of crucial components has still not taken off.

\n

- So the average industry value addition on mobile devices is around 20%, though the target is double that by FY2020 for smartphones.

\n

- Indeed, ICA admits that production of microphones and receivers, die-cut parts and camera modules have not taken off.

\n

- But, notably, these were listed as key components under the 2017-19 PMP programme.

\n

- **Preferential purchase** - The rule does not extend to private mobile operators.

\n

- But government contracts account for just one sixth of total equipment value (Rs 20,000 - Rs 30,000 annually).

\n

- Also, domestic manufacturers complain that public sector tenders are often tweaked with additional specifications, so that they cannot participate, leaving it to global players.

\n

- So in all, the preferential purchase policy to domestic manufacturing has also not been that effective.

\n

\n\n

## **What is the government's response?**

\n\n

- \n
- The government recently decided to advance by two months the target for locally manufacturing a new set of key components, under the 2018-19 PMP.
- \n
- These include display assembly, touch panels, cover glass assembly, vibrator motors and ringers.
- \n
- But there is opposition from the industry side because manufacturing these components requires large investments and between 18 and 24 months to set up.
- \n
- Moreover, most manufacturers have not invested yet.
- \n

\n\n

## **What do the manufacturers demand?**

\n\n

- \n
- Manufacturers are demanding that the deadline be postponed for a year.
- \n
- If not, the stiff 10% duty on importing these components will increase the cost of producing mobile devices in India.
- \n
- It will also encourage many manufacturers to simply import the entire device.
- \n
- Manufacturers call for strengthening the domestic manufacturing base for products like camera modules and other items which are under the earlier PMP.
- \n
- This is more feasible than adding new components to the list for which large investments would be required.
- \n
- Meanwhile, importing the other items makes sense for many global players that have factories in countries like Vietnam.
- \n
- From there, they can import without duty under the free trade agreement.
- \n

\n\n

## **What are the policy shortfalls in India?**

\n\n

- \n
- Global players say they would like to manufacture more in India but the value addition norms are too steep.
- \n
- The local content requirement ranges from 50 to 100% in 2019-20 and that is impossible to do so as the component vendor base is poor.
- \n
- Even in Vietnam and Taiwan the value addition is less than 20%.
- \n
- At the heart of the problem is inadequate incentives to set up a global component base.
- \n
- Unlike in the auto industry, global vendors for key components are limited to five or six big players and they prefer to set up a few mega plants to leverage cost through volumes.
- \n
- So they set up units in countries from where they can serve at least a fourth or fifth of their global market.
- \n

\n\n

## **What is to be done?**

\n\n

- \n
- Manufacturers not only look for domestic market but also for an export hub to efficiently export, for government's incentives and ease of doing business.
- \n
- So merely increasing duty on components and hoping manufacturers to come to India is far-fetched.
- \n
- The slowdown in China and the trade war has offered new opportunities.
- \n
- Mobile device manufacturers and telecom equipment makers and their vendors search for an alternative.
- \n
- India has an opportunity, but it is Vietnam and Malaysia that are more competitive now.
- \n
- India has to bring in place more relevant and practical policies, to make 'Make in India' work.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: Business Standard**

\n

