

Malegaon Blast Case

Why in news?

 $n\n$

The Special court hearing the 2008 Malegaon bombing case has made some significant statements recently.

 $n\n$

What is the Malegaon Bombing Case?

 $n\n$

\n

- A couple of low intensity bombs went off in September 2008 in Malegaon, Maharashtra, killing 7 people.
- The bombing seemed targeted to kill innocent Muslims, and it has been widely speculated that it was carried out by Hindu Extremist elements.
- Some vouch that the long-term ideological plank for the attack was to overthrow the secular Indian state and replace it with a Hindu Rashtra.
- Contrarily, Hindutva groups have argued that the case was fabricated by the previous government, to defame their cause and to excuse jihadist violence.

 $n\n$

What were the recent developments in the case?

 $n\n$

\n

- In line with the National Investigation Agency's (NIA) view, the court recently held that the controversial 'Maharashtra Control of Organised Crime Act' (MCOCA) did not apply to the case.
- \bullet This effectively means that the confessional statements made by the accused cannot be used by the prosecution. $\$
- However, Maharashtra Anti-Terrorism Squad's claim to prosecute Sadhvi

Pragya for her alleged role in the bombing has been upheld.

 \bullet This was in contradiction to the NIA, which stated lack of evidence for her prosecution to be taken forward. $\ensuremath{\backslash} n$

 $n\n$

What are the larger implications?

 $n\n$

\n

- \bullet The polarising nature of the case is precisely what makes it vital to ensure that the highest standards of criminal justice are rigorously applied. \n
- Notably, many see the case as a test for whether the Indian state can withstand chauvinistic majoritarian onslaught and remain a fair arbiter.
- \bullet Hence, beyond mere individual culpability or innocence, the outcome will have enormous consequences for the course of the republic. \n

 $n\n$

 $n\n$

Source: Indian Express

\n

