

## Materialising Municipal Bonds

### What is the issue?

\n\n

Beyond focussing on national and State budgets, forward planning is important at the municipal level as well.

\n\n

### What are the recent developments in this regard?

\n\n

\n

- Pune Municipal Corporation raised Rs.200 crore in June 2017 for a water supply project.

\n

- Greater Hyderabad Municipal Corporation raised Rs.200 crore recently to fund their road project.

\n

- Municipal bond market has seen an issuance of around Rs.2,000 crore since the first bond issue two decades ago.

\n

- While regulators and the Government are keen on more such bonds, the markets are yet to share the enthusiasm.

\n

\n\n

### Why is the municipal bond market unattractive?

\n\n

\n

- **Information** - Among the many factors withholding the development of municipal bonds market is the lack of information infrastructure.

\n

- The RBI publishes annually a report on the State budgets analysing the fiscal position of State governments based on primary data.

\n

- But notably, there is no such compendium on the budgets of local bodies giving real data on the overall receipts or expenditure.

\n

- **Reports** - The available data if any comes from the Comptroller and Auditor General (CAG) audit reports.

\n

- These give a consolidated picture of the municipal finances.

\n

- However, even the latest available CAG reports on local bodies date as far back as 2014-15.

\n

- **Primary Source** - The primary source of data is the website of the municipal corporation.

\n

- But the governance of the local bodies in some states leads to doubting the credibility of such reports.

\n

- The details of service delivery or the properties assessed for tax are not published in the budget.

\n

\n\n

## **What are the concerns with municipal bodies?**

\n\n

\n

- **Accounting** - Very few municipalities adhere to the national/State municipal accounting manual (NMAM/SMAM).

\n

- Even among the mega cities, Bengaluru and Kolkata present budgets that are not compliant with the NMAM.

\n

- NMAM mandates presenting budget information both function-wise (type of service rendered) and account code-wise (nature of revenue or expenditure item).

\n

- However, most municipal corporations present the data only account code-wise.

\n

- Also, the chart of accounts is fluid in many municipalities as they are still transitioning to the accounting manual.

\n

- **Accounting quality** - The accounting quality largely varies and is not linked to the size of the corporation.

\n

- The most common errors are the confusion between revenue and capital

items, and wrong account coding in the budget document.

\n

- **Disparities** - The reporting units are not the same in the budget data of various corporations.

\n

- Most municipal bodies present it in rupees lakh, and some in rupees thousands and rupees.

\n

- The differences in data from the municipal budget documents make it hard to compare data across municipals.

\n

\n\n

### **What is the way forward?**

\n\n

\n

- Building a national municipal data base ought to be a priority.

\n

- **Integrated database** with operational, economic data and financial data is essential.

\n

- Making it user-friendly is the first step to building an **information infrastructure** for the municipal bonds market.

\n

- It facilitates **analytics** on the operational efficiency of the corporation, the potential for revenue growth, the debt bearing capacity, etc.

\n

- Presently, the lender weighs in the tacit or explicit support of the state government while **lending** to a municipal corporation.

\n

- A national database can promote a culture of **credit analysis** among lenders to municipalities, to make informed decisions.

\n

- The increased levels of **transparency** will attract **public private partnerships** in infrastructure delivery and improve **ease of doing business**.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

**Source: BusinessLine**

\n

