

# Metro rail policy - A game changer

#### What is the issue?

\n\n

∖n

 Union government announced a new Metro Rail Policy in August 2017. Click <u>here</u> to know more

∖n

- It is seen as a significant stand taken on PPP, technology, urban mobility.  $\space{\space{1.5}n}$ 

\n\n

#### What are the issues in metro rail projects?

\n\n

∖n

- $\bullet$  A metro system is a complex system, areas like fare collection, station management, maintenance, security and maximisation of non-operational revenues like real estate and advertising are tedious for the government.  $\n$
- Metro rail is as expensive as the bullet train,the bullet train costs Rs 217 crore per km.  $\n$
- For instance, Phase 3 of Delhi Metro cost around Rs 221 crore/km for the overground stretch and Rs 552 crore/km for the underground stretch.  $\n$
- With few exceptions, most metro development has been sponsored by the Union government and state governments often backed by supportive bilateral or multilateral development finance.
- With the increased pace of metro construction, continued public funding will be difficult to sustain, running them departmentally, like PSUs, may not be desirable.

\n

\n\n

## Why metro rail policy is a game changer?

∖n

• **Private Partnership** - The policy explicitly recognises the need to have the private sector involved so as to tap private resources, expertise and entrepreneurship.

∖n

- Therefore, allowing for the private sector in a proactive manner brings in vision, energy, technology and funding.
  - \n
- Urban transit -The policy recognises that the term "metro rail" is a catch-all, which in spirit seeks to capture the full spectrum of modern urban transport systems.
  - \n
- The policy thus encompasses all forms stretching from BRTS (Bus Rapid Transit System) to tramways, light rail, metro rail and regional rail.  $\n$
- Alternative transportation The policy comes out best where it mandates an "alternative analysis" requiring a professional evaluation of the most suitable type of sustainable solution.  $\n$
- This will see the over-emphasis on "metros" replaced with spiffier options like electric trolley buses and tramways.  $\gamma_n$
- Last mile connectivity -The need for a comprehensive mobility solution is enshrined in the policy by its requirement of a Unified Metropolitan Transport Authority before a fresh scheme is cleared.
- Tariff fixation -The policy postulates an economic rate of return as distinct from a financial internal rate of return.  $\n$
- The policy clearly recognises the need to keep populism at bay and insists on the setting up of a Permanent Fare Fixation Authority.  $\n$
- Financial participation -The policy pushes the sponsor to look at creative ways of project financing through adoption of innovative mechanisms like value capture financing.
- The Central government has extended a participatory handshake to states.  $\ensuremath{\sc n}$
- These include PPP with central assistance under the Viability Gap Funding scheme of the ministry of finance, grant by the government of India  $\n$

\n\n

## Way forward

\n\n

∖n

- Multiple agency control, and diffused attention is not conducive to the provision and growth of urban transport along a sustainable path.  $\n$
- Rectification of this weakness has become all the more urgent in view of the huge investments projected to be made in this sector.  $\n$
- Thus massive migration expected from the rural hinterland to India's towns and cities can only be sustained by relevant urban mass transport, quickly rolled out.

\n

\n\n

\n\n

### Source: Business standard



\n\n